arXiv:1009.1123v5 [math.DG] 25 Feb 2012

Invariant generalized complex structures on Lie groups

Dmitri V. Alekseevsky and Liana David

February 28, 2012

Abstract. We describe a class (called regular) of invariant generalized complex structures on a real semisimple Lie group G. The problem reduces to the description of admissible pairs $(\mathfrak{k},\omega)$, where $\mathfrak{k}\subset\mathfrak{g}^{\mathbb{C}}$ is an appropriate regular subalgebra of the complex Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}^{\mathbb{C}}$ associated to G and ω is a closed 2-form on $\mathfrak{k}$, such that $\mathrm{Im}\left(\omega\vert_{\mathfrak{k}\cap\mathfrak{g}}\right)$ is non-degenerate.

In the case when G is a semisimple Lie group of inner type (in particular, when G is compact) a classification of regular generalized complex structures on G is given. We show that any invariant generalized complex structure on a compact semisimple Lie group is regular, provided that an additional natural condition is satisfied.

In the case when G is a semisimple Lie group of outer type, we describe the subalgebras $\mathfrak{k}$ in terms of appropriate root subsystems of a root system of $\mathfrak{g}^{\mathbb{C}}$ and we construct a large class of admissible pairs $(\mathfrak{k},\omega)$ (hence, regular generalized complex structures of G).

1 Introduction

Let M be a smooth manifold. We will denote by $\mathbb{T}M = TM\oplus T^{*}M$ the **generalized tangent bundle** of M, defined as the direct sum of tangent and cotangent bundles, and by g_{can} the canonical indefinite metric on $\mathbb{T}M$, given by

$$g_{\mathrm{can}}(X+\xi, Y+\eta) := \frac{1}{2}\left(\xi(Y)+\eta(X)\right), \quad \forall X+\xi, Y+\eta\in\mathbb{T}M. \qquad (1)$$

A **generalized almost complex structure** [8, 9] on M is a g_{can}-skew-symmetric field of endomorphisms

$$\mathcal{J}:\mathbb{T}M\to\mathbb{T}M$$

with $\mathcal{J}^2 = -\mathrm{Id}$ (where "Id" denotes the identity endomorphism). A generalized almost complex structure $\mathcal{J}$ is said to be integrable (or is a **generalized complex structure**) if the i-eigenbundle $L = \mathbb{T}^{1,0}M \subset (\mathbb{T}M)^{\mathbb{C}}$ of $\mathcal{J}$ (called the **holomorphic bundle** of $\mathcal{J}$) is closed under the complex linear extension of the Courant bracket $[\cdot,\cdot]$, defined by

$$[X+\xi, Y+\eta] := [X,Y] + L_X\eta - L_Y\xi - \frac{1}{2}d\left(\eta(X) - \xi(Y)\right), \qquad (2)$$

for any smooth sections $X+\xi$ and $Y+\eta$ of $\mathbb{T}M$. Complex and symplectic structures define, in a natural way, generalized complex structures and many definitions and results from complex and symplectic geometry can be extended to generalized complex geometry. This paper is concerned with invariant generalized complex structures on Lie groups.

Section 2 is intended to fix the notations and conventions we shall use along the paper. We recall basic facts we need about real and complex semisimple Lie algebras, invariant complex structures on homogeneous manifolds and generalized complex structures on manifolds. Our approach follows closely [8, 10, 15].

In Section 3 we present an infinitesimal description of invariant generalized complex structures on Lie groups, in terms of the so called admissible pairs. The holomorphic bundle L of an invariant generalized complex structure $\mathcal{J}$ on a Lie group G, with Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$, can be defined in terms of a pair $(\mathfrak{k}, \omega)$ (called **$\mathfrak{g}$-admissible**), formed by a subalgebra $\mathfrak{k} \subset \mathfrak{g}^{\mathbb{C}}$ and a closed 2-form $\omega \in \Lambda^2(\mathfrak{k}^*)$, with the property that $\omega_{\mathfrak{l}} := \mathrm{Im}\left(\omega|_{\mathfrak{l}}\right)$ is non-degenerate, where $\mathfrak{l} = \mathfrak{k} \cap \mathfrak{g}$ is the real part of $\mathfrak{k} \cap \bar{\mathfrak{k}}$. The general theory of closed forms defined on Lie algebras was developed in [2]. At the end of this section we assume that $\mathfrak{k}$ decomposes into an ideal $\mathfrak{p}$ and a complementary subalgebra $\mathfrak{s}$ and we find necessary and sufficient conditions for a 2-form $\omega \in \Lambda^2(\mathfrak{k}^*)$ to be closed (see Proposition 10). This result gives a distinguished class of admissible pairs (see Proposition 11) and will be used repeatedly in the next sections.

In Section 4 we begin our treatment of invariant generalized complex structures on semisimple Lie groups. An invariant generalized complex structure $\mathcal{J}$ on a semisimple Lie group G and the associated $\mathfrak{g}$-admissible pair $(\mathfrak{k}, \omega)$ are called **regular** if $\mathfrak{k}$ is a regular subalgebra of $\mathfrak{g}^{\mathbb{C}}$, i.e. is normalized by a Cartan subalgebra $\mathfrak{h}_{\mathfrak{g}}$ of $\mathfrak{g} = \mathrm{Lie}(G)$. We describe all regular $\mathfrak{g}$-admissible pairs (hence also the associated generalized complex structures on G) where $\mathfrak{g}$ is a real form of inner type and $\mathfrak{h}_{\mathfrak{g}}$ is a maximally compact Cartan subalgebra of $\mathfrak{g}$ (see Proposition 15 and Theorem 16). We study how these generalized complex structures can be reduced to the normal form by means of invariant B-field transformations (see Proposition 18). At the end of this section we show that any invariant generalized complex structure on a compact semisimple Lie group G, with Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$, is regular, provided that the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{k}$ of the associated $\mathfrak{g}$-admissible pair has the property that $\mathfrak{k} \cap \mathfrak{g}$ generates a closed subgroup of G (see Theorem 19).

Section 5 is concerned with regular generalized complex structures (or regular admissible pairs $(\mathfrak{k}, \omega)$) on simple Lie groups G of outer type, using the formalism of Vogan diagrams. The classification of the subalgebras $\mathfrak{k}$ reduces to the description of the so called σ-positive root systems (see Definition 13). We describe explicitly these systems and we obtain a large class of regular generalized complex structures on G.

The results of Sections 4 and 5 may be seen as complementary to some previous constructions of invariant generalized complex or Kähler structures on some classes of homogeneous manifolds (e.g. nilpotent or solvable Lie groups and their compact quotients [1, 6, 7], homogeneous manifolds G/K, where G is a compact Lie group and K a closed subgroup of maximal rank, and adjoint orbits in semisimple Lie algebras [11]). They also complement a result of M. Gualtieri, namely that any compact semisimple Lie group of even dimension admits a H-twisted generalized Kähler structure (see Example 6.39 of [8]).

Acknowledgements. We are grateful to Paul Gauduchon for his interest in this work and discussions related to the theory of real semisimple Lie algebras. We thank the referee for his useful suggestions and comments and for pointing to us the articles [1, 7, 11]. This work is partially supported by a CNCSIS - PN II IDEI Grant, code no. 1187/2008, and Hamburg University.

2 Preliminary material

2.1 Invariant complex structures on Lie groups and homogeneous manifolds

2.1.1 Invariant complex structures on homogeneous manifolds

The Lie algebra of a Lie group will be identified as usual with the tangent space at the identity element or with the space of left-invariant vector fields.

Let G be a real Lie group, with Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$, and L a closed connected subgroup of G, with Lie algebra $\mathfrak{l}$. Suppose that the space $M = G/L$ of left cosets is reductive, i.e. $\mathfrak{g}$ has an Ad_L-invariant decomposition

$$\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{l} \oplus \mathfrak{m}. \tag{3}$$

We shall identify $\mathfrak{m}$ with the tangent space T_oM at the origin $o = eL \in G/L$. An invariant complex structure J on M is determined by its value J_o at o, which is an Ad_L-invariant complex structure on the vector space $\mathfrak{m} = T_oM$. Let $\mathfrak{m}^{1,0}$ and $\mathfrak{m}^{0,1} = \overline{\mathfrak{m}^{1,0}}$ be the holomorphic, respectively anti-holomorphic subspaces of J_o, so that

$$\mathfrak{m}^{\mathbb{C}} = \mathfrak{m}^{1,0} \oplus \mathfrak{m}^{0,1}. \tag{4}$$

The invariance and integrability of J mean that $\mathfrak{k} = \mathfrak{l}^{\mathbb{C}} + \mathfrak{m}^{1,0}$ is a (complex) subalgebra of $\mathfrak{g}^{\mathbb{C}}$. Conversely, any decomposition (4) of $\mathfrak{m}^{\mathbb{C}}$ into two vector spaces $\mathfrak{m}^{1,0}$ and $\mathfrak{m}^{0,1} = \overline{\mathfrak{m}^{1,0}}$ such that $\mathfrak{k} = \mathfrak{l}^{\mathbb{C}} + \mathfrak{m}^{1,0}$ is a subalgebra of $\mathfrak{g}^{\mathbb{C}}$, defines an invariant complex structure on M. We get the following well known algebraic description of invariant complex structures on reductive homogeneous manifolds.

Proposition 1. *Let $M = G/L$ be a reductive homogeneous manifold, with reductive decomposition (3). There is a natural one to one correspondence between:*

i) invariant complex structures on M;

ii) decompositions $\mathfrak{m}^{\mathbb{C}} = \mathfrak{m}^{1,0} \oplus \mathfrak{m}^{0,1}$, where $\mathfrak{m}^{0,1} = \overline{\mathfrak{m}^{1,0}}$ and $\mathfrak{k} = \mathfrak{l}^{\mathbb{C}} + \mathfrak{m}^{1,0}$ is a subalgebra of $\mathfrak{g}^{\mathbb{C}}$;

iii) subalgebras $\mathfrak{k} \subset \mathfrak{g}^{\mathbb{C}}$ such that

$$\mathfrak{k} + \bar{\mathfrak{k}} = \mathfrak{g}^{\mathbb{C}}, \quad \mathfrak{k} \cap \bar{\mathfrak{k}} = \mathfrak{l}^{\mathbb{C}}. \tag{5}$$

In particular, if $M = G$ is a Lie group, there is a one to one correspondence between invariant complex structures on G and decompositions

$$\mathfrak{g}^{\mathbb{C}} = \mathfrak{g}^{1,0} \oplus \mathfrak{g}^{0,1},$$

where $\mathfrak{g}^{1,0}$ and $\mathfrak{g}^{0,1}$ are subalgebras of $\mathfrak{g}^{\mathbb{C}}$ and $\mathfrak{g}^{0,1} = \overline{\mathfrak{g}^{1,0}}$.

2.1.2 Some basic facts on semisimple Lie algebras

We fix our notations from the theory of semisimple Lie algebras.

Complex semisimple Lie algebras. Recall that any complex semisimple Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}^{\mathbb{C}}$ has a root space decomposition

$$\mathfrak{g}^{\mathbb{C}} = \mathfrak{h} + \mathfrak{g}(R) = \mathfrak{h} + \sum_{\alpha \in R} \mathfrak{g}_{\alpha}$$

with respect to a Cartan subalgebra $\mathfrak{h}$, where $R \subset \mathfrak{h}^*$ is the root system of $\mathfrak{g}^{\mathbb{C}}$ relative to $\mathfrak{h}$ and for any subset $P \subset R$ we denote by $\mathfrak{g}(P)$ the direct sum of root spaces

$$\mathfrak{g}(P) := \sum_{\alpha \in P} \mathfrak{g}_\alpha.$$

Along the paper we will denote by $E_\alpha \in \mathfrak{g}_\alpha$ the root vectors of a Weyl basis of $\mathfrak{g}(R)$, which have the following properties:

i)
$$\langle E_\alpha, E_{-\alpha} \rangle = 1, \quad \forall \alpha \in R,$$
where $B = \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ is the Killing form of $\mathfrak{g}^{\mathbb{C}}$;

ii) the structure constants $N_{\alpha\beta}$ defined by
$$[E_\alpha, E_\beta] = N_{\alpha\beta} E_{\alpha+\beta}, \quad \forall \alpha, \beta \in R$$
are real and satisfy
$$N_{-\alpha,-\beta} = -N_{\alpha\beta}, \quad \forall \alpha, \beta \in R.$$

We will identify the dual space $\mathfrak{h}^*$ with $\mathfrak{h}$ using the restriction $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ of the Killing form to $\mathfrak{h}$, which is non-degenerate on $\mathfrak{h}$ and positive definite on the real form $\mathfrak{h}(\mathbb{R})$ of $\mathfrak{h}$, spanned by the root system $R \subset \mathfrak{h}$. For a set of roots P, we will constantly use the notation $P^{\mathrm{sym}} := P \cap (-P)$ for the symmetric part of P and $P^{\mathrm{asym}} := P \setminus P^{\mathrm{sym}}$ for the anti-symmetric part.

Real semisimple Lie algebras. Let $\mathfrak{g}$ be a real semisimple Lie algebra. Its complexification $\mathfrak{g}^{\mathbb{C}}$ is a complex semisimple Lie algebra and $\mathfrak{g} = (\mathfrak{g}^{\mathbb{C}})^\sigma$, that is, $\mathfrak{g}$ can be reconstructed from $\mathfrak{g}^{\mathbb{C}}$ as the fix point set of a **complex conjugation** or **antiinvolution**

$$\sigma : \mathfrak{g}^{\mathbb{C}} \to \mathfrak{g}^{\mathbb{C}}, \quad x \to \bar{x},$$

that is, σ is an involutive automorphism of $\mathfrak{g}^{\mathbb{C}}$, as a real Lie algebra, and is antilinear. One can always assume that the antiinvolution σ preserves a Cartan subalgebra $\mathfrak{h}$ of $\mathfrak{g}^{\mathbb{C}}$. Then $\mathfrak{h}_{\mathfrak{g}} = \mathfrak{h}^\sigma := \mathfrak{h} \cap \mathfrak{g}$ is a Cartan subalgebra of $\mathfrak{g}$. The antiinvolution σ acts naturally on the set of roots R of $\mathfrak{g}^{\mathbb{C}}$ relative to $\mathfrak{h}$, by

$$\sigma(\alpha) := \overline{\alpha \circ \sigma}, \quad \forall \alpha \in R$$

and

$$\sigma(\mathfrak{g}_\alpha) = \mathfrak{g}_{\sigma(\alpha)}, \quad \forall \alpha \in R.$$

The **compact real form** of a complex semisimple Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}^{\mathbb{C}}$ is unique (up to conjugation) and is defined by the antiinvolution τ (called **compact**) given by

$$\tau|_{\mathfrak{h}(\mathbb{R})} = -\mathrm{Id}, \ \tau(E_\alpha) = -E_{-\alpha}, \forall \alpha \in R.$$

Assume now that $\mathfrak{g}$ is a real form of $\mathfrak{g}^{\mathbb{C}}$, not necessarily compact. Consider a Cartan decomposition

$$\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{k} \oplus \mathfrak{p}$$

of $\mathfrak{g}$ and let θ be the associated Cartan involution, with $+1$ eigenspace $\mathfrak{k}$ and -1 eigenspace $\mathfrak{p}$. The real form

$$\mathfrak{u} = \mathfrak{k} \oplus i\mathfrak{p}$$

is compact, the corresponding antiinvolution τ commutes with θ and $\mathfrak{g} = (\mathfrak{g}^{\mathbb{C}})^{\sigma}$, where $\sigma := \theta \circ \tau$. A θ-invariant Cartan subalgebra $\mathfrak{h}_{\mathfrak{g}}$ of $\mathfrak{g}$ decomposes as

$$\mathfrak{h}_{\mathfrak{g}} = \mathfrak{h}^+ \oplus \mathfrak{h}^-, \quad \mathfrak{h}^+ \subset \mathfrak{k}, \quad \mathfrak{h}^- \subset \mathfrak{p}$$

and any root of $\mathfrak{g}^{\mathbb{C}}$ relative to $(\mathfrak{h}_{\mathfrak{g}})^{\mathbb{C}}$ takes purely imaginary values on $\mathfrak{h}^+ \oplus i\mathfrak{h}^-$. The Cartan subalgebra $\mathfrak{h}_{\mathfrak{g}}$ is called maximally compact (respectively, maximally non-compact) if the dimension of $\mathfrak{h}^+$ (respectively, $\mathfrak{h}^-$) is as large as possible. The real form $\mathfrak{g}$ is of **inner type** if θ is an inner automorphism of $\mathfrak{g}$, that is, it belongs to $\mathrm{Int}(\mathfrak{g})$. The definition is independent of the choice of θ, since any two Cartan involutions of $\mathfrak{g}$ are conjugated via $\mathrm{Int}(\mathfrak{g})$, see e.g. [10, p. 301]. It can be shown that $\mathfrak{g}$ is of inner type if and only if there is a (maximally compact) Cartan subalgebra $\mathfrak{h}_{\mathfrak{g}}$ included in $\mathfrak{k}$, see e.g. [5, p. 24]. Then

$$\sigma(\alpha) = -\alpha$$

for any root α of $\mathfrak{g}^{\mathbb{C}}$ relative to $(\mathfrak{h}_{\mathfrak{g}})^{\mathbb{C}}$. Any compact real form is a real form of inner type.

A non-inner real form $\mathfrak{g}$ (and the corresponding antilinear involution) is called **outer**. For such a real form, the Dynkin diagram of $\mathfrak{g}^{\mathbb{C}}$ admits a non-trivial automorphism of order two, defined by the Cartan involutions of $\mathfrak{g}$ (more precisely, any Cartan involution θ permutes a set of simple roots of $\mathfrak{g}^{\mathbb{C}}$ relative to $(\mathfrak{h}_{\mathfrak{g}})^{\mathbb{C}}$, where $\mathfrak{h}_{\mathfrak{g}} \subset \mathfrak{g}$ is a maximally compact θ-invariant Cartan subalgebra, giving rise to the resulting automorphism of the Dynkin diagram, see [10, p. 339]). In particular, if $\mathfrak{g}^{\mathbb{C}}$ is simple, then $\mathfrak{g}^{\mathbb{C}} = A_n$, $(n \geq 2)$, D_n $(n \geq 3)$ or E_6. The list of simple non-complex Lie algebras of outer type is short and it is given below (see [10], Appendix C):

$$\mathfrak{sl}_n(\mathbb{R})\,(n > 2),\ \mathfrak{sl}_n(\mathbb{H})\,(n \geq 2),\ \{\mathfrak{so}_{2p+1,2q+1},\ 0 \leq p \leq q\} \setminus \{\mathfrak{so}_{1,1}, \mathfrak{so}_{1,3}\},\ \mathfrak{e}_6(\mathfrak{f}_4),\ \mathfrak{e}_6(\mathfrak{sp}_4).$$

For the real forms of the exceptional Lie algebra $\mathfrak{e}_6$ we indicate in the bracket the type of maximal compact subalgebras. A Lie group is called **inner** (respectively, **outer**) if its Lie algebra is inner (respectively, outer).

2.1.3 Invariant complex structures on homogeneous manifolds of compact semisimple Lie groups

Let $F = G/K = \mathrm{Ad}_G(x_0) \subset \mathfrak{g}$ be a flag manifold of a compact connected semisimple Lie group G, with Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g} = (\mathfrak{g}^{\mathbb{C}})^{\tau}$, and $K = T \cdot L$ a decomposition of the stabilizer K into a product of a torus T and a semisimple group L. Let T' be a subtorus of T, such that the quotient T/T' has even dimension. The total space of the fibration

$$\pi : M = G/(L \cdot T') \to F = G/K$$

admits an invariant complex structure, defined by an invariant complex structure on the fiber T/T' and an invariant complex structure on the base F, such that π is an holomorphic fibration. Moreover, any invariant complex structure on M is obtained in this way.

More precisely, let $\mathfrak{h}_{\mathfrak{g}}$ be a Cartan subalgebra of $\mathfrak{g}$ included in $\mathfrak{k} = \mathrm{Lie}(K)$. The complexification of the B-orthogonal reductive decomposition $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{k} \oplus \mathfrak{p}$ of $F = G/K$ is given by

$$\mathfrak{k}^{\mathbb{C}} = \mathfrak{h} + \mathfrak{g}([\Pi_0]), \quad \mathfrak{p}^{\mathbb{C}} = \mathfrak{g}(R'), \quad R' = R \setminus [\Pi_0]$$

where $\Pi_0 \subset \Pi$ is a subset of a system Π of simple roots of $\mathfrak{g}^{\mathbb{C}}$ relative to $\mathfrak{h} = (\mathfrak{h}_{\mathfrak{g}})^{\mathbb{C}}$ and $[\Pi_0] := R \cap \mathrm{span}(\Pi_0)$ is the set of all roots from R spanned by Π_0. The Cartan subalgebra $\mathfrak{h}_{\mathfrak{g}}$ decomposes into a B-orthogonal direct sum

$$\mathfrak{h}_{\mathfrak{g}} = \mathfrak{t} \oplus \mathfrak{h}_0 = \mathfrak{t}' \oplus \mathfrak{a} \oplus \mathfrak{h}_0$$

where $\mathfrak{t} = \mathrm{Lie}(T)$ and $\mathfrak{t}' = \mathrm{Lie}(T')$. The complexification of the reductive decomposition of $M = G/(L \cdot T')$ is given by

$$\mathfrak{g}^{\mathbb{C}} = (\mathfrak{l} + \mathfrak{t}')^{\mathbb{C}} \oplus \mathfrak{m}^{\mathbb{C}}$$

where $\mathfrak{l} = \mathrm{Lie}(L)$, $\mathfrak{l}^{\mathbb{C}} = \mathfrak{h}_0^{\mathbb{C}} + \mathfrak{g}([\Pi_0])$ and $\mathfrak{m}^{\mathbb{C}} = \mathfrak{a}^{\mathbb{C}} + \mathfrak{g}(R')$.

Theorem 2. *[15]*

i) The compact homogeneous manifold $M = G/(L \cdot T')$ described above admits an invariant complex structure defined by the decomposition

$$\mathfrak{m}^{\mathbb{C}} = \mathfrak{m}^{1,0} \oplus \overline{\mathfrak{m}^{1,0}} = \mathfrak{m}^{1,0} \oplus \tau(\mathfrak{m}^{1,0}),$$

where

$$\mathfrak{m}^{1,0} = \mathfrak{a}^{1,0} + \mathfrak{g}(R'_+), \quad R'_+ := R^+ \cap (R \setminus [\Pi_0]),$$

R^+ *is the positive root system defined by* Π *and* $\mathfrak{a}^{1,0}$ *is the holomorphic subspace of a complex structure* $J^{\mathfrak{a}}$ *on* $\mathfrak{a}$*.*

ii) Conversely, any invariant complex structure on an homogeneous manifold of a compact, connected, semisimple Lie group G *can be obtained by this construction.*

2.2 Generalized complex structures on manifolds

In this paper we consider only generalized complex structures of constant type.

Let $\mathcal{J}$ be a generalized almost complex structure on an n-dimensional manifold M. According to M. Gualtieri [8], the holomorphic bundle $L = \mathbb{T}^{1,0}M \subset (\mathbb{T}M)^{\mathbb{C}}$ of $\mathcal{J}$ can be described in terms of a subbundle $E \subset (TM)^{\mathbb{C}}$ and a 2-form $\tilde{\omega} \in \Gamma(\Lambda^2(E^*))$ defined on E. We now recall this description.

Note that $L \subset (\mathbb{T}M)^{\mathbb{C}}$ is isotropic with respect to the complex linear extension of g_{can} and $L \oplus \bar{L} = (\mathbb{T}M)^{\mathbb{C}}$. Conversely, any isotropic subbundle $L \subset (\mathbb{T}M)^{\mathbb{C}}$ such that $L \oplus \bar{L} = (\mathbb{T}M)^{\mathbb{C}}$ defines a generalized almost complex structure $\mathcal{J}$, whose complex linear extension to $(\mathbb{T}M)^{\mathbb{C}}$ satisfies $\mathcal{J}|_L = i$ and $\mathcal{J}|_{\bar{L}} = -i$. These considerations play a key role in the proof of the following Proposition:

Proposition 3. *[8, p. 49] A complex rank* n *subbundle* L *of* $(\mathbb{T}M)^{\mathbb{C}}$ *is the holomorphic bundle of a generalized almost complex structure* $\mathcal{J}$ *if and only if it is of the form*

$$L = L(E, \tilde{\omega}) := \{X + \xi \in E \oplus (T^{\mathbb{C}}M)^*, \quad \xi|_E = \tilde{\omega}(X, \cdot)\}$$

where $E \subset (TM)^{\mathbb{C}}$ *is a complex subbundle and* $\tilde{\omega} \in \Gamma(\Lambda^2(E^*))$ *is a complex 2-form on* E *such that the imaginary part* $\mathrm{Im}\,(\tilde{\omega}|_\Delta)$ *is non-degenerate. Here*

$$\Delta = E \cap TM \subset TM$$

is the real part of $E \cap \bar{E}$*, i.e.*

$$\Delta^{\mathbb{C}} = E \cap \bar{E}.$$

Moreover, $\mathcal{J}$ *is integrable if and only if* E *is involutive (i.e. its space of sections is closed under the Lie bracket) and* $d_E\tilde{\omega} = 0$*, where* d_E *is the exterior derivative along* E*.*

The codimension of the subbundle $E \subset T^{\mathbb{C}}M$ is called the **type** of the generalized complex structure $\mathcal{J}$.

Any complex or symplectic structure defines a generalized complex structure (see e.g. [8]). Other examples of generalized complex structures can be obtained using B-field transformations, as follows. Any closed 2-form $B \in \Omega^2(M)$ (usually called a B-**field**) defines an automorphism of $\mathbb{T}M$, by

$$\exp(B)(X+\xi) = X + i_X B + \xi, \quad \forall X + \xi \in \mathbb{T}M$$

which preserves the Courant bracket (this follows from $dB = 0$). If $\mathcal{J}$ is a generalized complex structure on M, with holomorphic bundle $L(E, \tilde{\omega})$, then $L(E, \tilde{\omega} + i^*B)$, where $i^*B \in \Lambda^2(E^*)$ is the restriction of (the complexification of) B to E, is the holomorphic bundle of another generalized complex structure $\exp(B) \cdot \mathcal{J}$, called the B-**field transformation of** $\mathcal{J}$. Obviously, a B-field transformation preserves the type.

The last notion we need from generalized complex geometry is the normal form of generalized complex structures [13, p. 1507]. Recall first that an (almost) f-structure on a manifold M is an endomorphism F of TM satisfying $F^3 + F = 0$. Let T^0M, $T^{1,0}M$ and $T^{0,1}M$ be the eigenbundles of the complex linear extension of F, with eigenvalues 0, i and $-i$ respectively. A (real) 2-form $\eta \in \Omega^2(M)$ is called compatible with F if $\eta_{\mathbb{C}}|_{T^0M}$ is non-degenerate and $\mathrm{Ker}(\eta_{\mathbb{C}}) = T^{1,0}M \oplus T^{0,1}M$, where $\eta_{\mathbb{C}}$ is the complex linear extension of η. A generalized (almost) complex structure $\mathcal{J}$ on M, with holomorphic bundle L, is in **normal form** if $L = L(T^0M \oplus T^{1,0}M, i\eta_{\mathbb{C}})$ for some almost f-structure and compatible 2-form η. In the language of [8], this means that $\mathcal{J}_p$, at any $p \in M$, is the direct sum of a complex structure and a symplectic structure.

3 Infinitesimal description of invariant generalized complex structures on Lie groups

3.1 Admissible pairs: definition and general results

Generalized geometry of Lie groups and homogeneous spaces already appears in the literature (see e.g. [1, 6, 7, 11]). It is known that invariant generalized complex structures on a Lie group G are in bijective correspondence with invariant complex structures on the cotangent group T^*G, which are compatible with the standard neutral bi-invariant metric of T^*G [1, p. 771]. The proof follows from the remark that the restriction of the Courant bracket to the space of invariant generalized vector fields (i.e. invariant sections of $\mathbb{T}G$) coincides with the Lie bracket in the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{t}^*(\mathfrak{g})$ of the cotangent group T^*G.

In this section we develop an infinitesimal description of invariant generalized complex structures on Lie groups in terms of the so called admissible pairs, which will be a main tool in this paper.[1]

Let G be a real Lie group. On the generalized tangent bundle $\mathbb{T}G$ we consider the natural action induced by left translations:

$$g \cdot (X + \xi) := (L_g)_* X + \xi \circ (L_g^{-1})_*, \quad \forall g \in G, \quad \forall X + \xi \in \mathbb{T}G.$$

Definition 4. *A generalized almost complex structure* $\mathcal{J}$ *on* G *is called* **invariant** *if*

$$\mathcal{J}(X + \xi) = g^{-1} \cdot \mathcal{J}\left(g \cdot (X + \xi)\right), \quad \forall g \in G, \quad \forall X + \xi \in \mathbb{T}G. \tag{6}$$

The holomorphic bundle $L = L(E, \tilde{\omega})$ of an invariant generalized almost complex structure $\mathcal{J}$ is determined by its fiber L_e at the identity element $e \in G$, i.e. by a subspace $\mathfrak{k} := E_e \subset \mathfrak{g}^{\mathbb{C}}$ and a complex 2-form $\omega := \tilde{\omega}_e \in \Lambda^2(\mathfrak{k}^*)$. It is easy to check that the bundle E is involutive if and only if $\mathfrak{k}$ is a complex subalgebra of $\mathfrak{g}^{\mathbb{C}}$. Moreover, if E is involutive, then $d_E \tilde{\omega} = 0$ if and only if ω is closed as a 2-form on the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{k}$, i.e. for any $X, Y, Z \in \mathfrak{k}$,

$$(d_{\mathfrak{k}}\omega)(X, Y, Z) := \omega(X, [Y, Z]) + \omega(Z, [X, Y]) + \omega(Y, [Z, X]) = 0.$$

This last statement follows from the following computation: for any $X, Y, Z \in E_e$ (viewed either as left invariant sections of E or elements of $\mathfrak{k}$),

$$\begin{aligned}(d_E \tilde{\omega})_e(X, Y, Z) &= X\left(\tilde{\omega}(Y, Z)\right) - Y\left(\tilde{\omega}(X, Z)\right) + Z\left(\tilde{\omega}(X, Y)\right) \\ &\quad + \tilde{\omega}(X, [Y, Z]) + \tilde{\omega}(Z, [X, Y]) + \tilde{\omega}(Y, [Z, X]) \\ &= (d_{\mathfrak{k}}\omega)(X, Y, Z),\end{aligned}$$

where we used that $\tilde{\omega}(Y, Z)$ and the remaining similar terms are constant (from the invariance of $\tilde{\omega}$).

To simplify terminology we introduce the following definition.

Definition 5. *Let* $\mathfrak{g}$ *be a real Lie algebra, given by the fixed point set of an antiinvolution* $\sigma(x) = \bar{x}$ *of* $\mathfrak{g}^{\mathbb{C}}$*. A pair* $(\mathfrak{k}, \omega)$ *formed by a complex subalgebra* $\mathfrak{k} \subset \mathfrak{g}^{\mathbb{C}}$ *and a closed* 2*-form* $\omega \in \Lambda^2(\mathfrak{k}^*)$ *is called* **$\mathfrak{g}$-admissible** *if*

---

[1] We thank the referee for pointing to us article [11], where an infinitesimal description of invariant generalized complex structures on homogeneous manifolds G/K, in terms of the so called generalized complex pairs (GC-pairs), was developed. When $K = \{e\}$, a GC-pair is an admissible pair.

i) $\mathfrak{g}^{\mathbb{C}} = \mathfrak{k} + \bar{\mathfrak{k}}$*;*

ii) $\omega_{\mathfrak{l}} := \mathrm{Im}\left(\omega|_{\mathfrak{l}}\right)$ *is a symplectic form on* $\mathfrak{l} = \mathfrak{k} \cap \mathfrak{g}$*, i.e. it is non-degenerate (and closed).*

The following result, which is a corollary of Proposition 3, reduces the description of invariant generalized complex structures on a Lie group G to the description of $\mathfrak{g}$-admissible pairs.

Theorem 6. *Let* G *be a Lie group, with Lie algebra* $\mathfrak{g}$*. There is a natural one to one correspondence between:*

i) invariant generalized complex structures on G*;*

ii) $\mathfrak{g}$*-admissible pairs* $(\mathfrak{k}, \omega)$*.*

More precisely, a $\mathfrak{g}$*-admissible pair* $(\mathfrak{k}, \omega)$ *defines an invariant generalized complex structure* $\mathfrak{J}$*, with holomorphic space at* $e \in G$ *given by*

$$\mathbb{T}_e^{1,0} G = \mathfrak{w}^{1,0} := \{X + \xi \in \mathfrak{k} \oplus (\mathfrak{g}^{\mathbb{C}})^* : \quad \xi|_{\mathfrak{k}} = \omega(X, \cdot)\}.$$

Theorem 6 has the following important consequence.

Corollary 7. *Let* $\mathfrak{J}$ *be an invariant generalized complex structure on a Lie group* G*, defined by a* $\mathfrak{g}$*-admissible pair* $(\mathfrak{k}, \omega)$*. Suppose that the real Lie algebra*

$$\mathfrak{l} = \mathfrak{g} \cap \mathfrak{k} \subset \mathfrak{g}$$

generates a closed, connected Lie subgroup L *of* G*, such that the homogeneous space* $M = G/L$ *is reductive. Then* $\mathfrak{J}$ *defines an invariant complex structure* J *on* M*.*

Proof. Since $\mathfrak{k}$ belongs to an admissible pair, $\mathfrak{k} + \bar{\mathfrak{k}} = \mathfrak{g}^{\mathbb{C}}$. Moreover, $\mathfrak{k} \cap \bar{\mathfrak{k}} = \mathfrak{l}^{\mathbb{C}}$. From Proposition 1 *iii)*, $\mathfrak{k}$ defines an invariant complex structure J on M.

□

We end this section with a property of admissible pairs, which will be useful in our treatment of invariant generalized complex structures on semisimple Lie groups.

Proposition 8. *Let* $\mathfrak{g}$ *be a Lie algebra and* $(\mathfrak{k}, \omega)$ *a* $\mathfrak{g}$*-admissible pair. Suppose that* $\mathfrak{l} = \mathfrak{k} \cap \mathfrak{g}$ *is reductive. Then* $\mathfrak{l}$ *is abelian.*

Proposition 8 is a consequence of the following general statement (applied to the reductive Lie algebra $\mathfrak{l} = \mathfrak{k} \cap \mathfrak{g}$, which admits a symplectic form, namely $\omega_{\mathfrak{l}} := \mathrm{Im}\left(\omega|_{\mathfrak{l}}\right)$):

Lemma 9. *Any (real or complex) reductive Lie algebra which admits a symplectic form is abelian.*

Proof. Let $\mathfrak{l} = \mathfrak{c} \oplus \mathfrak{l}^s$ be a reductive Lie algebra, with the center $\mathfrak{c}$ and semisimple part $\mathfrak{l}^s$. Suppose that $\omega \in \Lambda^2(\mathfrak{l}^*)$ is a non-degenerate closed 2-form on $\mathfrak{l}$. Then $\mathfrak{c}$ is ω-orthogonal to $\mathfrak{l}^s$. Indeed, for $Y, Z \in \mathfrak{l}^s$ and $X \in \mathfrak{c}$, we get

$$0 = d\omega(X, Y, Z) = \omega(X, [Y, Z]) + \omega(Z, [X, Y]) + \omega(Y, [Z, X]) = \omega(X, [Y, Z]).$$

Since $[\mathfrak{l}^s, \mathfrak{l}^s] = \mathfrak{l}^s$, the claim follows. Assume now that $\mathfrak{l}^s \neq 0$. Then $\omega^s := \omega|_{\mathfrak{l}^s}$ is a non-degenerate closed 2-form on the semisimple Lie algebra $\mathfrak{l}^s$. Being closed, ω^s is the differential of a 1-form $\xi \in (\mathfrak{l}^s)^*$ (see e.g. [12, p. 16]), which is dual with respect to the Killing form $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ of $\mathfrak{l}^s$ to a vector $X_0 \in \mathfrak{l}^s$:

$$\xi(X) = \langle X_0, X \rangle, \ \forall X \in \mathfrak{l}^s.$$

For any $Y \in \mathfrak{l}^s$ we get

$$\omega(X_0, Y) = d\xi(X_0, Y) = -\xi([X_0, Y]) = -\langle X_0, [X_0, Y] \rangle = \langle [X_0, X_0], Y \rangle = 0.$$

Hence X_0 belongs to the kernel of ω^s. Since ω^s is non-degenerate, $X_0 = 0$ and hence $\mathfrak{l}^s = 0$. We obtain a contradiction.

□

3.2 A class of admissible pairs

We have reduced the description of invariant generalized complex structures on a Lie group G, with Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$, to the description of $\mathfrak{g}$-admissible pairs $(\mathfrak{k}, \omega)$, and, in particular, to the description of closed 2-forms on $\mathfrak{k}$. In this section we find a special class of $\mathfrak{g}$-admissible pairs $(\mathfrak{k}, \omega)$ (see Proposition 11 below). We begin with the following result which will be used repeatedly in our description of invariant generalized complex structures on semisimple Lie groups.

Proposition 10. *Suppose that a Lie algebra $\mathfrak{k}$ admits a semidirect decomposition*

$$\mathfrak{k} = \mathfrak{s} \oplus \mathfrak{p}$$

into a subalgebra $\mathfrak{s}$ and an ideal $\mathfrak{p}$. Decompose a 2-form $\rho \in \Lambda^2(\mathfrak{k}^*)$ on $\mathfrak{k}$ into three parts

$$\rho = \rho_0 + \rho_1 + \rho_2,$$

where $\rho_0 \in \Lambda^2(\mathfrak{s}^*)$ is the $\mathfrak{s}$-part, $\rho_1 \in \Lambda^2(\mathfrak{p}^*)$ is the $\mathfrak{p}$-part and $\rho_2 \in \mathfrak{s}^* \wedge \mathfrak{p}^* \subset \Lambda^2(\mathfrak{k}^*)$ is the mixed part of ρ (all trivially extended to $\mathfrak{k}$). Then the form ρ is closed if and only if the following conditions are satisfied:

1. *the forms* ρ_0, ρ_1 *are closed on* $\mathfrak{s}$ *and, respectively, on* $\mathfrak{p}$*;*
2. *the following two conditions are satisfied:*

$$\rho_2(s, [p, p']) = \rho_1([s, p], p') + \rho_1(p, [s, p']) \tag{7}$$

and

$$\rho_2([s, s'], p) + \rho_2([s', p], s) + \rho_2([p, s], s') = 0, \tag{8}$$

for any $s, s' \in \mathfrak{s}$ *and* $p, p' \in \mathfrak{p}$.

In particular, if $\mathfrak{s}$ *is* ρ*-orthogonal to* $\mathfrak{p}$*, i.e.* $\rho_2 = 0$*, then* ρ *is closed if and only if its* $\mathfrak{s}$*-part* ρ_0 *is closed and its* $\mathfrak{p}$*-part* ρ_1 *is closed and* $\mathrm{ad}_{\mathfrak{s}}$*-invariant.*

Proof. The proof is straightforward. □

Proposition 11. *Let* $\mathfrak{g}$ *be a real Lie algebra and* $\sigma(x) = \bar{x}$ *the associated antiinvolution on* $\mathfrak{g}^{\mathbb{C}}$*. Suppose that a complex subalgebra* $\mathfrak{k} \subset \mathfrak{g}^{\mathbb{C}}$*, with* $\mathfrak{g}^{\mathbb{C}} = \mathfrak{k} + \bar{\mathfrak{k}}$*, has an ideal* $\mathfrak{p}$*, complementary to the subalgebra* $\mathfrak{l}^{\mathbb{C}} = \mathfrak{k} \cap \bar{\mathfrak{k}}$*, that is,*

$$\mathfrak{k} = \mathfrak{l}^{\mathbb{C}} \oplus \mathfrak{p},$$

Then any (complex) 2-form $\omega \in \Lambda^2(\mathfrak{k}^*)$ *which defines a* $\mathfrak{g}$*-admissible pair* $(\mathfrak{k}, \omega)$ *is given by*

$$\omega = \widehat{\omega}_0 + \rho_1 + \rho_2,$$

where $\widehat{\omega}_0 \in \Lambda^2(\mathfrak{l}^{\mathbb{C}})^*$ *is a closed 2-form on* $\mathfrak{l}^{\mathbb{C}}$*, such that* $\mathrm{Im}\left(\widehat{\omega}_0|_{\mathfrak{l}}\right)$ *is non-degenerate,* $\rho_1 \in \Lambda^2(\mathfrak{p}^*)$ *is a closed 2-form on* $\mathfrak{p}$ *and* $\rho_2 \in (\mathfrak{l}^{\mathbb{C}})^* \wedge \mathfrak{p}^*$ *is a 2-form which satisfies the following two conditions :*

$$\rho_2(l, [p, p']) = \rho_1([l, p], p') + \rho_1(p, [l, p'])$$

and

$$\rho_2([l, l'], p) + \rho_2([l', p], l) + \rho_2([p, l], l') = 0,$$

for any $l, l' \in \mathfrak{l}^{\mathbb{C}}$ *and* $p, p' \in \mathfrak{p}$.

4 Invariant generalized complex structures of regular type on semisimple Lie groups

In the remaining part of the paper we define and study a class (called regular) of invariant generalized complex structures on semisimple Lie groups.

4.1 Regular $\mathfrak{g}$-admissible pairs: definition and general results

Let G be a real semisimple Lie group with Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$.

Definition 12. *A $\mathfrak{g}$-admissible pair $(\mathfrak{k}, \omega)$ and the associated invariant generalized complex structure $\mathfrak{J}$ on G are called* **regular** *if the complex subalgebra $\mathfrak{k} \subset \mathfrak{g}^{\mathbb{C}}$ is* **regular**, *i.e. it is normalized by a Cartan subalgebra of $\mathfrak{g}$.*

We denote by

$$\sigma : \mathfrak{g}^{\mathbb{C}} \to \mathfrak{g}^{\mathbb{C}}, \quad x \to \bar{x}$$

the conjugation of $\mathfrak{g}^{\mathbb{C}}$ with respect to $\mathfrak{g}$. Let $\mathfrak{k}$ be a regular subalgebra of $\mathfrak{g}^{\mathbb{C}}$. The complexification $\mathfrak{h}$ of the Cartan subalgebra $\mathfrak{h}_{\mathfrak{g}}$ of $\mathfrak{g}$ which normalizes $\mathfrak{k}$ is a σ-invariant Cartan subalgebra of $\mathfrak{g}^{\mathbb{C}}$. Being regular, the subalgebra $\mathfrak{k}$ is of the form

$$\mathfrak{k} = \mathfrak{h}_{\mathfrak{k}} + \mathfrak{g}(R_0) \tag{9}$$

where $\mathfrak{h}_{\mathfrak{k}} := \mathfrak{k} \cap \mathfrak{h}$ and $R_0 \subset R$ is a closed subset of the root system R of $\mathfrak{g}^{\mathbb{C}}$ relative to $\mathfrak{h}$. The condition $\mathfrak{k} + \bar{\mathfrak{k}} = \mathfrak{g}^{\mathbb{C}}$ from the definition of admissible pairs is equivalent to

$$R_0 \cup \sigma(R_0) = R, \quad \mathfrak{h}_{\mathfrak{k}} + \bar{\mathfrak{h}}_{\mathfrak{k}} = \mathfrak{h}.$$

To simplify terminology we introduce the following definition:

Definition 13. *i) A subset $R_0 \subset R$ is called* σ-**parabolic** *if it is closed and*

$$R_0 \cup \sigma(R_0) = R.$$

ii) A σ-parabolic subset $R_0 \subset R$ is called a σ-**positive system** *if it satisfies the additional condition*

$$R_0 \cap \sigma(R_0) = \emptyset.$$

iii) Two σ-parabolic subsets R_0, R_0' are called **equivalent** *if one of them can be obtained from the other by transformations $R \to -R$, $R \to \sigma(R)$ and a transformation from the Weyl group of R, which commutes with σ.*

We remark that if $\mathfrak{g}$ is a real form of inner type of $\mathfrak{g}^{\mathbb{C}}$ and $\mathfrak{h}_{\mathfrak{g}}$ is a maximally compact Cartan subalgebra of $\mathfrak{g}$, then

$$\sigma(\alpha) = -\alpha, \quad \forall \alpha \in R \tag{10}$$

and by a result of Bourbaki [4] (Chapter VI, Section 1.7), σ-parabolic subsets (respectively, σ-positive systems) of R are just parabolic subsets, that is, closed subsets which contain a positive root system (respectively, positive root systems).

Lemma 14. *Let $\mathfrak{k}$ be the regular subalgebra (9) of $\mathfrak{g}^{\mathbb{C}}$, such that*

$$(R_0 \cap \sigma(R_0))^{\mathrm{asym}} = \emptyset. \tag{11}$$

Suppose that $\mathfrak{k}$ can be included into a $\mathfrak{g}$-admissible pair $(\mathfrak{k}, \omega)$. Then

$$\mathfrak{k} = \mathfrak{l}^{\mathbb{C}} + \mathfrak{a}^{1,0} + \mathfrak{g}(R_0)$$

where R_0 is a σ-positive system of R, $\mathfrak{l} := \mathfrak{k} \cap \mathfrak{h}_{\mathfrak{g}}$ and $\mathfrak{a}^{1,0}$ is the holomorphic space of a complex structure $J^{\mathfrak{a}}$ on a complement $\mathfrak{a}$ of $\mathfrak{l}$ in $\mathfrak{h}_{\mathfrak{g}}$. In particular, the dimension of $\mathfrak{a}$ is even.

Proof. The complex conjugated subalgebra $\bar{\mathfrak{k}}$ has the form

$$\bar{\mathfrak{k}} = \bar{\mathfrak{h}}_{\mathfrak{k}} + \sigma(\mathfrak{g}(R_0)) = \bar{\mathfrak{h}}_{\mathfrak{k}} + \mathfrak{g}(\sigma(R_0)). \tag{12}$$

From (12),

$$\mathfrak{k} \cap \bar{\mathfrak{k}} = \mathfrak{h}_{\mathfrak{k}} \cap \bar{\mathfrak{h}}_{\mathfrak{k}} + \mathfrak{g}(R_0 \cap \sigma(R_0)).$$

Condition (11) means that $R_0 \cap \sigma(R_0)$ is symmetric. Thus the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{k} \cap \bar{\mathfrak{k}}$ is reductive, with semisimple part generated by $\mathfrak{g}(R_0 \cap \sigma(R_0))$ and the center which is the annihilator of $R_0 \cap \sigma(R_0)$ in $\mathfrak{h}_{\mathfrak{k}} \cap \bar{\mathfrak{h}}_{\mathfrak{k}}$. Since $\mathfrak{k} \cap \bar{\mathfrak{k}}$ is a reductive subalgebra with a symplectic form, by Lemma 9 it is commutative. It follows that $R_0 \cap \sigma(R_0) = \emptyset$. On the other hand, $\mathfrak{k} + \bar{\mathfrak{k}} = \mathfrak{g}^{\mathbb{C}}$ implies that $R_0 \cup \sigma(R_0) = R$. We proved that R_0 is a σ-positive system.

Let $\mathfrak{w}$ be a complement of $\mathfrak{h}_{\mathfrak{k}} \cap \bar{\mathfrak{h}}_{\mathfrak{k}}$ in $\mathfrak{h}_{\mathfrak{k}}$. Since $\mathfrak{h}_{\mathfrak{k}} + \bar{\mathfrak{h}}_{\mathfrak{k}} = \mathfrak{h}$, $\mathfrak{w} + \bar{\mathfrak{w}} = \mathfrak{a}^{\mathbb{C}}$ where $\mathfrak{a}$ is a complement of $\mathfrak{l}$ in $\mathfrak{h}_{\mathfrak{g}}$. Being transverse, $\mathfrak{w}$ and $\bar{\mathfrak{w}}$ are the holomorphic and anti-holomorphic spaces of a complex structure $J^{\mathfrak{a}}$ on $\mathfrak{a}$.

□

Note that if $\sigma(\alpha) = -\alpha$, for any $\alpha \in R$, the condition (11) is automatically satisfied. Our main result in this section is stated as follows.

Proposition 15. *Let $\mathfrak{g}$ be a real form of inner type of a complex semisimple Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}^{\mathbb{C}}$. Any regular subalgebra of $\mathfrak{g}^{\mathbb{C}}$ which is normalized by a maximally compact Cartan subalgebra $\mathfrak{h}_{\mathfrak{g}}$ of $\mathfrak{g}$ and can be included in a $\mathfrak{g}$-admissible pair is of the form*

$$\mathfrak{k} = \mathfrak{l}^{\mathbb{C}} + \mathfrak{a}^{1,0} + \mathfrak{g}(R^+) \tag{13}$$

where $\mathfrak{l} := \mathfrak{k} \cap \mathfrak{h}_{\mathfrak{g}}$, $\mathfrak{a}^{1,0}$ is the holomorphic space of a complex structure on a complement $\mathfrak{a}$ of $\mathfrak{l}$ in $\mathfrak{h}_{\mathfrak{g}}$ and R^+ is a system of positive roots of $\mathfrak{g}^{\mathbb{C}}$ relative to $\mathfrak{h} := (\mathfrak{h}_{\mathfrak{g}})^{\mathbb{C}}$.

In the next section we find all 2-forms, which, together with the subalgebra (13), form $\mathfrak{g}$-admissible pairs.

4.2 Regular pairs on semisimple Lie groups of inner type

In this Section we assume that the real form $\mathfrak{g} = (\mathfrak{g}^{\mathbb{C}})^{\sigma}$ of a complex semisimple Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}^{\mathbb{C}}$ is of inner type. Then, preserving the notations of Proposition 15, a regular subalgebra $\mathfrak{k} \subset \mathfrak{g}^{\mathbb{C}}$ normalized by a maximally compact Cartan subalgebra $\mathfrak{h}_{\mathfrak{g}}$ of $\mathfrak{g}$ and which is part of a $\mathfrak{g}$-admissible pair $(\mathfrak{k}, \omega)$ has the form

$$\mathfrak{k} = \mathfrak{h}_0 + \mathfrak{g}(R^+) = \mathfrak{l}^{\mathbb{C}} + \mathfrak{a}^{1,0} + \mathfrak{g}(R^+), \tag{14}$$

where $R^+ \subset R$ is a positive root system of $\mathfrak{g}^{\mathbb{C}}$ relative to $\mathfrak{h} = (\mathfrak{h}_{\mathfrak{g}})^{\mathbb{C}}$ and $\mathfrak{h}_0 \subset \mathfrak{h}$ satisfies $\mathfrak{h}_0 + \bar{\mathfrak{h}}_0 = \mathfrak{h}$. We now determine all 2-forms $\omega \in \Lambda^2(\mathfrak{k}^*)$ which, together with $\mathfrak{k}$, form a $\mathfrak{g}$-admissible pair. In the following theorem we fix root vectors $\{E_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in R}$ of a Weyl basis of $\mathfrak{g}(R)$ and we denote by $\omega_\alpha \in (\mathfrak{g}^{\mathbb{C}})^*$ the dual covectors defined by

$$\omega_\alpha|_{\mathfrak{h}} = 0, \quad \omega_\alpha(E_\beta) = \delta_{\alpha\beta}, \quad \forall \alpha, \beta \in R. \tag{15}$$

As usual $N_{\alpha\beta}$ will denote the structure constants, defined by $[E_\alpha, E_\beta] = N_{\alpha\beta} E_{\alpha+\beta}$.

Theorem 16. *Let $\mathfrak{k} \subset \mathfrak{g}^{\mathbb{C}}$ be the regular subalgebra defined by (14) and $\omega \in \Lambda^2(\mathfrak{k}^*)$. Then $(\mathfrak{k}, \omega)$ is a $\mathfrak{g}$-admissible pair if and only if*

$$\omega = \widehat{\omega}_0 + \sum_{\alpha \in R^+} \mu_\alpha \alpha \wedge \omega_\alpha + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\alpha, \beta \in R^+} \mu_{\alpha+\beta} N_{\alpha\beta} \omega_\alpha \wedge \omega_\beta, \tag{16}$$

where $\mu_\alpha \in \mathbb{C}$, for any $\alpha \in R^+$, and $\widehat{\omega}_0 \in \Lambda^2(\mathfrak{h}_0^*)$ is any 2-form on $\mathfrak{h}_0$ (trivially extended to $\mathfrak{k}$), such that $\operatorname{Im}\left(\widehat{\omega}_0|_{\mathfrak{l}}\right)$ is non-degenerate.

Proof. We first show that a 2-form on $\mathfrak{k}$ is closed if and only if it is given by (16), for some constants μ_α. For this, we apply Proposition 10, with commutative subalgebra $\mathfrak{s} := \mathfrak{h}_0 = \mathfrak{l}^{\mathbb{C}} + \mathfrak{a}^{1,0}$ and ideal $\mathfrak{p} := \mathfrak{g}(R^+)$. Any $\omega \in \Lambda^2(\mathfrak{k}^*)$ is given by

$$\omega = \widehat{\omega}_0 + \rho_1 + \rho_2$$

where $\widehat{\omega}_0 \in \Lambda^2(\mathfrak{h}_0^*)$, $\rho_1 \in \Lambda^2(\mathfrak{p}^*)$ and $\rho_2 \in \mathfrak{h}_0^* \wedge \mathfrak{p}^*$ are trivially extended to $\mathfrak{k}$. Since $\mathfrak{h}_0$ is abelian, $d_{\mathfrak{h}_0} \widehat{\omega}_0 = 0$ for any $\widehat{\omega}_0$. From Proposition 10, $d_{\mathfrak{k}}\omega = 0$ if and only if $d_{\mathfrak{p}}\rho_1 = 0$ and conditions (7) and (8) are satisfied. Since $\mathfrak{h}_0$ is abelian, condition (8) becomes

$$\rho_2([H', E_\alpha], H) + \rho_2([E_\alpha, H], H') = 0, \quad \forall H, H' \in \mathfrak{h}_0, \quad \forall \alpha \in R^+$$

or

$$\rho_2(E_\alpha, H)\alpha(H') = \rho_2(E_\alpha, H')\alpha(H). \tag{17}$$

On the other hand, for any $\alpha \in R$, the restriction $\alpha|_{\mathfrak{h}_0}$ is not identically zero. (This is an easy consequence of the equality $\mathfrak{h}_0 + \bar{\mathfrak{h}}_0 = \mathfrak{h}$ and the fact that $\sigma(\alpha) = -\alpha$, when $\mathfrak{g} = (\mathfrak{g}^{\mathbb{C}})^\sigma$ is a compact real form or a real form of inner type and $\mathfrak{h}_{\mathfrak{g}}$ is a maximally compact Cartan subalgebra of $\mathfrak{g}$). Thus relation (17) is equivalent to

$$\rho_2(H, E_\alpha) = \mu_\alpha \alpha(H), \quad \forall \alpha \in R^+, \quad \forall H \in \mathfrak{h}_0,$$

for some constants μ_α, or

$$\rho_2 = \sum_{\alpha \in R^+} \mu_\alpha \alpha \wedge \omega_\alpha. \tag{18}$$

We proved that (8) is equivalent to (18). We now consider (7), which gives

$$\rho_2(H, [E_\alpha, E_\beta]) = \rho_1([H, E_\alpha], E_\beta) + \rho_1(E_\alpha, [H, E_\beta]), \quad \forall H \in \mathfrak{h}_0, \quad \forall \alpha, \beta \in R^+,$$

or, using (18),

$$N_{\alpha\beta}\mu_{\alpha+\beta}(\alpha+\beta)(H) = (\alpha+\beta)(H)\rho_1(E_\alpha, E_\beta), \quad \forall H \in \mathfrak{h}_0, \quad \forall \alpha, \beta \in R^+. \tag{19}$$

A similar argument as above shows that $(\alpha+\beta)|_{\mathfrak{h}_0}$ is not identically zero, for any $\alpha, \beta \in R^+$. Thus (19) becomes

$$\rho_1 = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\alpha, \beta \in R^+} N_{\alpha\beta}\mu_{\alpha+\beta}\omega_\alpha \wedge \omega_\beta. \tag{20}$$

It remains to check that $\rho_1 \in \Lambda^2(\mathfrak{p}^*)$ defined by (20) is closed. For this, let $\alpha, \beta, \gamma \in R^+$ be any positive roots. Then

$$\begin{aligned}(d_{\mathfrak{p}}\rho_1)(E_\alpha, E_\beta, E_\gamma) &= \rho_1(E_\alpha, [E_\beta, E_\gamma]) + \rho_1(E_\gamma, [E_\alpha, E_\beta]) + \rho_1(E_\beta, [E_\gamma, E_\alpha]) \\ &= c_{\alpha+\beta+\gamma}(N_{\beta\gamma}N_{\alpha,\beta+\gamma} + N_{\alpha\beta}N_{\gamma,\alpha+\beta} + N_{\gamma\alpha}N_{\beta,\gamma+\alpha}).\end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, the Jacobi identity

$$[E_\alpha, [E_\beta, E_\gamma]] + [E_\gamma, [E_\alpha, E_\beta]] + [E_\beta, [E_\gamma, E_\alpha]] = 0$$

implies that

$$N_{\beta\gamma}N_{\alpha,\beta+\gamma} + N_{\alpha\beta}N_{\gamma,\alpha+\beta} + N_{\gamma\alpha}N_{\beta,\gamma+\alpha} = 0.$$

Therefore ρ_1 defined by (20) is closed. We proved that all closed 2-forms on $\mathfrak{k}$ are given by (16). If, moreover, $\mathrm{Im}\left(\widehat{\omega}_0|_{\mathfrak{l}}\right)$ is non-degenerate, then $(\mathfrak{k}, \omega)$ is a $\mathfrak{g}$-admissible pair. $\square$

Corollary 17. *Let G be a real semisimple Lie group of inner type.*

i) If there is a regular generalized complex structure on G then the rank of G is even.

ii) Assume that the rank of G is even and let $\mathcal{J}$ be a regular generalized complex structure on G, with associated admissible pair $(\mathfrak{k}, \omega)$, where

$$\mathfrak{k} = \mathfrak{l}^{\mathbb{C}} + \mathfrak{a}^{1,0} + \mathfrak{g}(R^{+}) \tag{21}$$

and $\omega \in \Lambda^2(\mathfrak{k}^*)$ are like in Theorem 16. Then the type of $\mathcal{J}$ is given by

$$\mathrm{type}(\mathcal{J}) = \frac{1}{2}\left(\mathrm{rank}(G) - \dim(\mathfrak{l}) + |R|\right)$$

where $|R|$ is the number of roots from R.

iii) Assume that the rank of G is even. Then, for any $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that

$$0 \leq k \leq \frac{1}{2}\mathrm{rang}(G),$$

there is a regular generalized complex structure $\mathcal{J}$ on G with

$$\mathrm{type}(\mathcal{J}) = \frac{1}{2}|R| + k. \tag{22}$$

Proof. Let $\mathcal{J}$ be a regular generalized complex structure on G, with admissible pair $(\mathfrak{k}, \omega)$, like in Theorem 16. Since $\mathfrak{l}$ admits a symplectic form, it has even dimension. Since the complement $\mathfrak{a}$ of $\mathfrak{l}$ in $\mathfrak{h}_{\mathfrak{g}}$ admits a complex structure, it has also even dimension. Thus, the rank of G is even. This proves the first claim. The second claim follows from the definition of the type and by computing the dimension of $\mathfrak{k}$. For the third claim, we notice that the generalized complex structure $\mathcal{J}$ associated to an admissible pair $(\mathfrak{k}, \omega)$, with $\mathfrak{k}$ given by (21), satisfies (22), provided that the dimension of $\mathfrak{l}$ is equal to $\mathrm{rank}(G) - 2k$. Since the rank of G is even, $\mathfrak{l}$ can be chosen with this property. □

For simplicity, the following proposition is stated for compact forms, but it holds also for any real form of inner type. We preserve the notations from Theorem 16.

Proposition 18. *Let $\mathcal{J}$ be a regular generalized complex structure on a compact semisimple Lie group G, with associated $\mathfrak{g}$-admissible pair $(\mathfrak{k}, \omega)$ defined by (14) and (16). Define a covector $\xi \in \mathfrak{g}^*$ by*

$$\xi := -\sum_{\alpha \in R^{+}} \mu_{\alpha} \omega_{\alpha} + \sum_{\alpha \in R^{+}} \bar{\mu}_{\alpha} \omega_{-\alpha}$$

and let $B := d\xi$. Then $\mathcal{J}$ is the B-field transformation of the regular generalized complex structure $\widehat{\mathcal{J}}$ whose associated $\mathfrak{g}$-admissible pair is $(\mathfrak{k}, \widehat{\omega}_0)$. Moreover, $\widehat{\mathcal{J}}$ is in normal form if and only if

$$i_H \widehat{\omega}_0 = 0, \quad \forall H \in \mathfrak{a}^{1,0}.$$

Proof. The compact real form $\mathfrak{g}$ is given by

$$\mathfrak{g} = i\mathfrak{h}(\mathbb{R}) + \sum_{\alpha \in R} \mathbb{R}(E_\alpha - E_{-\alpha}) + i \sum_{\alpha \in R} \mathbb{R}(E_\alpha + E_{-\alpha}).$$

It can be checked that ξ takes real values on $\mathfrak{g}$ and

$$B|_{\mathfrak{k}} = \sum_{\alpha \in R^+} \mu_\alpha \alpha \wedge \omega_\alpha + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\alpha, \beta \in R^+} \mu_{\alpha+\beta} N_{\alpha\beta} \omega_\alpha \wedge \omega_\beta. \tag{23}$$

From (16) and (23), $\mathcal{J}$ is the B-field transformation of $\widehat{\mathcal{J}}$. The second claim is straightforward. □

4.3 Invariant generalized complex structures on compact semisimple Lie groups

We now show that any invariant generalized complex structure $\mathcal{J}$ on a compact semisimple Lie group G is regular, provided that $\mathcal{J}$ satisfies an additional natural condition. More precisely, we prove:

Theorem 19. *Let G be a compact semisimple Lie group, with Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$, and $\mathcal{J}$ an invariant generalized complex structure on G defined by a $\mathfrak{g}$-admissible pair $(\mathfrak{k}, \omega)$. Suppose that $\mathfrak{l} := \mathfrak{k} \cap \mathfrak{g}$ generates a closed subgroup L of G. Then $\mathcal{J}$ is regular.*

Proof. Since G is semisimple and compact, $M = G/L$ is reductive and $\mathcal{J}$ induces an invariant complex structure J on M, defined by the subalgebra $\mathfrak{k}$ (see Corollary 7). By Theorem 2, $\mathfrak{k}$ is regular. □

5 Invariant generalized complex structures on semisimple Lie groups of outer type

In this section we construct a large class of regular generalized complex structures on semisimple Lie groups of outer type. Let $\mathfrak{g} = (\mathfrak{g}^{\mathbb{C}})^\sigma$ be a real form of outer type of a complex semisimple Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}^{\mathbb{C}}$, defined by an antilinear conjugation

$$\sigma : \mathfrak{g}^{\mathbb{C}} \to \mathfrak{g}^{\mathbb{C}}, \quad x \to \bar{x}.$$

In a first stage, we are looking for $\mathfrak{g}$-admissible regular pairs $(\mathfrak{k}, \widehat{\omega}_0)$, such that the 2-form $\widehat{\omega}_0$ is the trivial extension of a form defined on the Cartan part of the regular subalgebra $\mathfrak{k}$ of $\mathfrak{g}^{\mathbb{C}}$. Assume, as usual, that

$$\mathfrak{k} = \mathfrak{h}_0 + \mathfrak{g}(R_0), \tag{24}$$

where $\mathfrak{h}_0$ is included in a σ-invariant Cartan subalgebra $\mathfrak{h}$ of $\mathfrak{g}^{\mathbb{C}}$ and R_0 is a σ-positive system of the root system R of $\mathfrak{g}^{\mathbb{C}}$ relative to $\mathfrak{h}$ (see Definition 13). Thus, we are looking for pairs $(\mathfrak{h}_0, \widehat{\omega}_0)$, with $\widehat{\omega}_0 \in \Lambda^2(\mathfrak{h}_0)$, such that the following conditions are satisfied:

i) $\mathfrak{h}_0 + \bar{\mathfrak{h}}_0 = \mathfrak{h}$;

ii) the trivial extension of $\widehat{\omega}_0$ to $\mathfrak{k}$ is closed;

iii) $\mathrm{Im}\left(\widehat{\omega}_0|_{\mathfrak{l}}\right)$ is non-degenerate, where $\mathfrak{l} := \mathfrak{h}_0 \cap \mathfrak{g}$ is the real part of $\mathfrak{h}_0$.

We first remark that the symmetric part R_0^{sym} of the σ-positive system R_0 is not empty in general (as it happens when $\mathfrak{g}$ is of inner type). Since $\mathfrak{k}$ is a subalgebra,

$$[\mathfrak{g}_\alpha, \mathfrak{g}_{-\alpha}] \subset \mathfrak{h}_0, \quad \forall \alpha \in R_0^{\mathrm{sym}}$$

and a simple computation shows that condition ii) above is equivalent to

$$\widehat{\omega}_0([E_\alpha, E_{-\alpha}], H) = 0, \quad \forall \alpha \in R_0^{\mathrm{sym}}, \quad \forall H \in \mathfrak{h}_0. \tag{25}$$

Define

$$\mathcal{S} := \mathrm{Span}_{\mathbb{C}}\{[E_\alpha, E_{-\alpha}], \quad \alpha \in R_0^{\mathrm{sym}}\} = \mathrm{Span}(R_0^{\mathrm{sym}})^\flat$$

where $\flat : \mathfrak{h}^* \to \mathfrak{h}$ is the isomorphism defined by the Killing form and assume that

$$\mathcal{S} \cap \bar{\mathcal{S}} = \{0\}. \tag{26}$$

Assuming that the additional condition (26) is satisfied, we now describe a simple construction of pairs $(\mathfrak{h}_0, \widehat{\omega}_0)$ such that i), ii) and iii) hold. For this, consider a subspace $\mathfrak{h}_1 \subset \mathfrak{h}$ such that $\mathfrak{h}_1 + \bar{\mathfrak{h}}_1 = \mathfrak{h}$ and $\mathcal{S} \subset \mathfrak{h}_1$. From (26), we can chose a complementary subspace $\mathfrak{h}_0$ of $\mathfrak{h}_1 \cap \bar{\mathcal{S}}$ in $\mathfrak{h}_1$, such that $\mathcal{S} \subset \mathfrak{h}_0$. One can check that:

a) $\mathfrak{h}_0 \cap \bar{\mathfrak{h}}_0$ is transverse to $\mathcal{S}$;

b) $\mathfrak{h} = \mathfrak{h}_0 + \bar{\mathfrak{h}}_0$.

The subspace $\mathfrak{h}_0$ decomposes into a direct sum

$$\mathfrak{h}_0 = (\mathfrak{h}_0 \cap \bar{\mathfrak{h}}_0) \oplus \mathcal{S} \oplus \mathcal{W}$$

where $\mathcal{W} \subset \mathfrak{h}_0$ is any complementary subspace of $(\mathfrak{h}_0 \cap \bar{\mathfrak{h}}_0) \oplus \mathcal{S}$. One can chose $\widehat{\omega}_0 \in \Lambda^2(\mathfrak{h}_0)$ such that $\mathcal{S} \subset \mathrm{Ker}(\widehat{\omega}_0)$ and $\mathrm{Im}\,(\widehat{\omega}_0)$ is non-degenerate on $\mathfrak{l} = (\mathfrak{h}_0 \cap \bar{\mathfrak{h}}_0)^{\sigma}$. Then $(\mathfrak{k}, \widehat{\omega}_0)$ is a $\mathfrak{g}$-admissible pair.

Our next aim is to construct other regular $\mathfrak{g}$-admissible pairs $(\mathfrak{k}, \omega)$, for which $\mathfrak{g} = (\mathfrak{g}^{\mathbb{C}})^{\sigma}$ is any real form of outer type of $\mathfrak{g}^{\mathbb{C}} = \mathfrak{sl}_{2n}(\mathbb{C})$ $(n \geq 2)$, $\mathfrak{so}_{2n}(\mathbb{C})$ $(n \geq 3)$ and $\mathfrak{e}_6$, the regular subalgebra $\mathfrak{k}$ is normalized by a maximally compact Cartan subalgebra of $\mathfrak{g}$, the root system R_0 of $\mathfrak{k}$ is a σ-positive system and the 2-form ω has a non-zero restriction to the root part $\mathfrak{g}(R_0)$ of $\mathfrak{k}$. The following Proposition simplifies considerably this task. It shows that we can chose (arbitrarily), for each of the above complex Lie algebras, a real form of outer type and find regular pairs with respect to these real forms. They will provide invariant generalized complex structures on all real forms of outer type of $SL_{2n}(\mathbb{C})$ $(n \geq 2)$, $SO_{2n}(\mathbb{C})$ $(n \geq 3)$ and E_6.

Proposition 20. *Let $\mathfrak{g}^{\mathbb{C}}$ be a complex simple Lie algebra, $\mathfrak{g} = (\mathfrak{g}^{\mathbb{C}})^{\sigma}$ a real form of outer type of $\mathfrak{g}^{\mathbb{C}}$ and $(\mathfrak{k}, \omega)$ a regular $\mathfrak{g}$-admissible pair, with*

$$\mathfrak{k} = \mathfrak{h}_{\mathfrak{k}} + \mathfrak{g}(R_0) \tag{27}$$

normalized by a maximally compact Cartan subalgebra $\mathfrak{h}_{\mathfrak{g}}$ of $\mathfrak{g}$ and R_0 a σ-positive system. Then any other real form of outer type of $\mathfrak{g}^{\mathbb{C}}$ is conjugated to a real form $\mathfrak{g}'$ such that $(\mathfrak{k}, \omega)$ is $\mathfrak{g}'$-admissible.

Proof. To prove the claim, we recall the formalism of Vogan diagrams [10, p. 344], which describes the real forms of a given complex simple Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}^{\mathbb{C}}$. An abstract Vogan diagram is an abstract Dynkin diagram, which represents a system of simple roots Π of $\mathfrak{g}^{\mathbb{C}}$ relative to a Cartan subalgebra $\mathfrak{h}$, together with two pieces of additional information: some arrows between vertices, which indicate the action of an involutive symmetry s of the Dynkin diagram (in the case of outer real forms) and a subset of painted nodes (in the fix point set of s), which indicates the non-compact simple roots. The symmetry s defines an involution θ of the Cartan subalgebra $\mathfrak{h}$, which can be canonically extended to an involutive automorphism θ of $\mathfrak{g}^{\mathbb{C}}$, by the conditions: for any $\alpha \in \Pi$,

$$\theta(E_\alpha) = \begin{cases} E_{\alpha'}, & \text{if } s(\alpha) = \alpha' \neq \alpha \\ E_\alpha, & \text{if } \alpha = s(\alpha) \text{ is not a painted root} \\ -E_\alpha, & \text{if } \alpha = s(\alpha) \text{ is a painted root,} \end{cases} \tag{28}$$

where $\{E_\alpha\}$ are root vectors of a Weyl basis.

The composition $\sigma := \theta \circ \tau$, where τ is the compact involution commuting with θ, defines the real form $\mathfrak{g} = (\mathfrak{g}^{\mathbb{C}})^\sigma$ associated to the Vogan diagram. Moreover, the real subalgebra

$$\mathfrak{h}_{\mathfrak{g}} = \mathfrak{h}^+ \oplus \mathfrak{h}^- = \{x \in i\mathfrak{h}(\mathbb{R}), \quad \theta(x) = x\} \oplus \{x \in \mathfrak{h}(\mathbb{R}), \quad \theta(x) = -x\} \tag{29}$$

is a maximally compact (θ-invariant) Cartan subalgebra of $\mathfrak{g}$.

Consider now a regular $\mathfrak{g}$-admissible pair $(\mathfrak{k}, \omega)$, like in (27), where $\mathfrak{g}$ is a real form of outer type of a complex simple Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}^{\mathbb{C}}$. The defining conditions for $(\mathfrak{k}, \omega)$, namely

$$R_0 \cup \sigma(R_0) = R, \quad R_0 \cap \sigma(R_0) = \emptyset, \quad \mathfrak{h}_{\mathfrak{k}} + \bar{\mathfrak{h}}_{\mathfrak{k}} = \mathfrak{h}, \quad d_{\mathfrak{k}}\omega = 0$$

and $\mathrm{Im}(\omega|_{(\mathfrak{h}_{\mathfrak{k}} \cap \bar{\mathfrak{h}}_{\mathfrak{k}})^\sigma})$ non-degenerate, depend only on the symmetry s of the associated Vogan diagram. Remark now that the symmetry s is unique, for $\mathfrak{g}^{\mathbb{C}} \neq D_4$ and for D_4 there are three symmetries related by an outer automorphism of D_4. This proves our claim.

□

Remark 21. *In the statement of Proposition 20 it is essential that R_0 is a σ-positive system. When R_0 is σ-parabolic but not necessarily σ-positive, $(\mathfrak{k} \cap \bar{\mathfrak{k}})^\sigma$ does not reduce in general to the Cartan part $(\mathfrak{h}_{\mathfrak{k}} \cap \bar{\mathfrak{h}}_{\mathfrak{k}})^\sigma$ and it may depend also on which nodes from the Vogan diagram are painted; therefore, the same is true for the condition $\mathrm{Im}(\omega|_{(\mathfrak{k} \cap \bar{\mathfrak{k}})^\sigma})$ from the definition of $\mathfrak{g}$-admissible pairs.*

Motivated by Proposition 20, in the remaining part of this section we construct regular generalized complex structures (and admissible pairs) on $G = SL_n(\mathbb{H})$ ($n \geq 2$), $SO_{2n-1,1}$ ($n \geq 3$) and two real forms of outer type of E_6.

5.1 Generalized complex structures on $SL_n(\mathbb{H})$

a) Description of the antiinvolution σ which defines $\mathfrak{sl}_n(\mathbb{H})$

Let $V = \mathbb{C}^{2n}$ be a complex vector space of dimension $2n \geq 4$, with standard basis $\{e_1, \cdots, e_n, e_{1'}, \cdots, e_{n'}\}$ and $\mathfrak{sl}_{2n}(\mathbb{C})$ the Lie algebra of traceless endomorphisms of V. We denote by

$$E_{ij} = e_i \otimes e_j^*,\ E_{i'j'} = e_{i'} \otimes e_{j'}^*,\ E_{i'j} = e_{i'} \otimes e_j^*,\ E_{ij'} = e_i \otimes e_{j'}^*$$

the associated basis of $\mathfrak{gl}(V)$ and we choose a Cartan subalgebra

$$\mathfrak{h} = \{H = \sum_{i=1}^{n} x_i E_{ii} + \sum_{j'=1}^{n} x_{j'} E_{j'j'},\ \sum_{i=1}^{n} x_i + \sum_{j'=1}^{n} x_{j'} = 0\}$$

which consists of traceless diagonal matrices. Denote by $\epsilon_i, \epsilon_{j'}$ the linear forms on $\mathfrak{h}$ defined by

$$\epsilon_i(H) = x_i, \quad \epsilon_{j'}(H) = x_{j'}.$$

The roots of $\mathfrak{sl}(V)$ are

$$R := \{\epsilon_{ij} := \epsilon_i - \epsilon_j, \epsilon_{i'j'} := \epsilon_{i'} - \epsilon_{j'}, \epsilon_{i'j} := \epsilon_{i'} - \epsilon_j, \epsilon_{ij'} := \epsilon_i - \epsilon_{j'}\}.$$

The Lie algebra $\mathfrak{sl}_n(\mathbb{H})$ is a real form of outer type of $\mathfrak{sl}_{2n}(\mathbb{C})$, defined by the antilinear involution

$$\sigma(A) = -J\bar{A}J, \quad \forall A \in \mathfrak{sl}_{2n}(\mathbb{C}),$$

where J is the matrix

$$J = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \mathrm{Id} \\ -\mathrm{Id} & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

The antilinear involution σ acts on roots, transforming unprime indices into prime indices and vice versa, i.e.

$$\sigma(\epsilon_{ij}) = \epsilon_{i'j'}, \quad \sigma(\epsilon_{i'j'}) = \epsilon_{ij}, \quad \sigma(\epsilon_{ij'}) = \epsilon_{i'j}, \quad \sigma(\epsilon_{i'j}) = \epsilon_{ij'}.$$

Alternatively, one may also define $\mathfrak{sl}_n(\mathbb{H})$ as the unique real form of outer type of $\mathfrak{sl}_{2n}(\mathbb{C})$, whose Vogan diagram has no painted node. As described above, $\mathfrak{sl}_n(\mathbb{H})$ can be obtained as in the proof of Theorem 6.88 of [10] (see also Proposition 20), by considering the Weyl basis (31) (see below) and assigning to the nodes of A_{2n-1} the simple roots $\epsilon_{12'}, \epsilon_{2'3}, \epsilon_{34'}, \cdots, \epsilon_{3'2}, \epsilon_{21'}$. The unique simple root fixed by the non-trivial automorphism of A_{2n-1} (given by the horizontal reversal) is $\epsilon_{n'n}$ (n-even) or $\epsilon_{nn'}$ (n-odd) and is a white root. The Cartan subalgebra

$$\mathfrak{h}^{\sigma} = \{H = \sum_{i=1}^{n} x_i E_{ii} + \sum_{j=1}^{n} \overline{x}_j E_{j'j'}, \quad \sum_{i=1}^{n} (x_i + \bar{x}_i) = 0\}$$

is a maximally compact Cartan subalgebra of $\mathfrak{sl}_n(\mathbb{H})$. Any $\alpha \in R$ for which $\sigma(\alpha) = -\alpha$ is of the form $\epsilon_{ii'}$ or $\epsilon_{i'i}$ and is compact, since $\sigma(E_{\epsilon_{ii'}}) = -E_{\epsilon_{i'i}}$, where $E_{\epsilon_{ij}}$ are root vectors of the Weyl basis (31). It follows that $\mathfrak{h}^\sigma$ is also a maximally non-compact Cartan subalgebra of $\mathfrak{sl}_n(\mathbb{H})$ (see [10, p. 335]).

b) σ-positive systems of the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{sl}_{2n}(\mathbb{C})$

Proposition 22. *Any σ-positive root system R_0 of the root system R is equivalent to one of the following systems:*
a) $\{\epsilon_i - \epsilon_j,\ \epsilon_i - \epsilon_{j'},\ i, j = 1, 2, \cdots, n\}$*;*
b) $\{\epsilon_i - \epsilon_j,\ \epsilon_{i'} - \epsilon_j,\ i, j = 1, 2, \cdots, n\}$.

Proof. Denote by $P = \{\epsilon_{ij}\}$ the subsystem of R which is the root system of type A_{n-1}, hence indecomposable. But $P = (P \cap R_0) \cup (P \cap \sigma(R_0))$ is a decomposition of P in a disjoint union of two closed subsystems. Hence, one of these two parts is empty. Without loss of generality, we may assume that $P \subset R_0$. Assume that $\epsilon_{i_0 j_0'} \in R_0$. Then $\epsilon_{ij_0'} = \epsilon_{ii_0} + \epsilon_{i_0 j_0'} \in R_0$ $\forall i$, because R_0 is closed, and $\epsilon_{i'j_0} = \sigma(\epsilon_{ij_0'}) \in \sigma(R_0)$ $\forall i'$. It follows that $\epsilon_{i'j} \in \sigma(R_0)$ $\forall j$ (if this were not true, then we could find j_1 such that $\epsilon_{i'j_1} \in R_0$; but then, since $\epsilon_{j_1 j_0} \in R_0$ and R_0 is closed, also $\epsilon_{i'j_0} \in R_0$ which is impossible, since R_0 and $\sigma(R_0)$ are disjoint). Thus $\epsilon_{ij'} = \sigma(\epsilon_{i'j}) \in R_0$ $\forall i, j'$ and we get the system *a)*. If $\epsilon_{ij'} \notin R_0$ $\forall i, j'$, we get the system *b)*. ☐

c) $\mathfrak{sl}_n(\mathbb{H})$-admissible pairs

Now we describe $\mathfrak{sl}_n(\mathbb{H})$-admissible pairs $(\mathfrak{k}, \omega)$, where the subalgebra $\mathfrak{k} \subset \mathfrak{sl}_{2n}(\mathbb{C})$ is regular with root system the σ-positive system R_0 of type *a)* from Proposition 22. The case *b)* is similar. The subalgebra $\mathfrak{k}$ can be written as

$$\mathfrak{k} = \mathfrak{h}_0 + \mathfrak{g}(R_0) = \mathfrak{h}_0 + \sum_{i,j} \mathfrak{g}_{\epsilon_{ij}} + \sum_{i,j'} \mathfrak{g}_{\epsilon_{ij'}} \subset \mathfrak{sl}_{2n}(\mathbb{C}), \tag{30}$$

where $\mathfrak{h}_0 \subset \mathfrak{h}$, with $\mathfrak{h}_0 + \bar{\mathfrak{h}}_0 = \mathfrak{h}$. The vectors

$$E_{\epsilon_{ij}} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2n}} E_{ij}, \quad E_{\epsilon_{i'j'}} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2n}} E_{i'j'}, \quad E_{\epsilon_{i'j}} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2n}} E_{i'j}, \quad E_{\epsilon_{ij'}} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2n}} E_{ij'} \tag{31}$$

are root vectors of a Weyl basis and the associated structure constants are given by

$$N_{\epsilon_{ij}, \epsilon_{js}} = -N_{\epsilon_{ji}, \epsilon_{sj}} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2n}}, \quad \forall i \neq j \neq s$$

and their prime analogues (obtained by replacing any of the i, j, s by its prime analogue). The symmetric part R_0^{sym} of R_0 is given by

$$R_0^{\mathrm{sym}} = \{\epsilon_{ij},\ i, j = 1, 2, \cdots, n\}. \tag{32}$$

Since $\mathfrak{k}$ is a subalgebra, (32) together with

$$[E_{\epsilon_{ij}}, E_{\epsilon_{ji}}] = \frac{1}{2n}(E_{ii} - E_{jj})$$

imply that $E_{ii} - E_{jj} \in \mathfrak{h}_0$, for any i, j. Note that

$$\mathcal{S} := \mathrm{Span}_{\mathbb{C}}\{[E_{\epsilon_{ij}}, E_{\epsilon_{ji}}], i, j = 1, 2, \cdots, n\} = \mathrm{Span}_{\mathbb{C}}\{E_{ii} - E_{jj}, i, j = 1, 2, \cdots, n\}$$

is transversal to

$$\bar{\mathcal{S}} = \mathrm{Span}_{\mathbb{C}}\{E_{i'i'} - E_{j'j'}, i', j' = 1, 2, \cdots, n\}.$$

Thus condition (26) is satisfied. The following theorem describes $\mathfrak{sl}_n(\mathbb{H})$-admissible pairs $(\mathfrak{k}, \omega)$ and hence also invariant generalized complex structures on the group $SL_n(\mathbb{H})$. Below the covectors $\omega_{\epsilon_{ij}}, \omega_{\epsilon_{i'j}}, \omega_{\epsilon_{ij'}}, \omega_{\epsilon_{i'j'}}$ are dual to the root vectors (31) and annihilate $\mathfrak{h}$. We assume that $n \geq 3$ (when $n = 2$ the theorem still holds, under the additional assumption that $\epsilon_i + \epsilon_r - \epsilon_{j'} - \epsilon_{s'}$ is not identically zero on $\mathfrak{h}_0$, for any i, r, j', s', with $(i, j') \neq (r, s')$).

Theorem 23. *Any closed 2-form ω on the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{k}$ defined in (30) is given by*

$$\omega = \widehat{\omega}_0 + \sum_{i \neq j} \lambda_{(ij)} \epsilon_{ij} \wedge \omega_{\epsilon_{ij}} + \frac{1}{\sqrt{2n}} \sum_{i \neq j \neq k} \lambda_{(ik)} \omega_{\epsilon_{ij}} \wedge \omega_{\epsilon_{jk}} + \sum_{i \neq j} \eta_{(ij)} \omega_{\epsilon_{ij}} \wedge \omega_{\epsilon_{ji}}$$
$$+ \sum_{k, j'} \lambda_{(kj')} \left(\sqrt{2n} \epsilon_{kj'} \wedge \omega_{\epsilon_{kj'}} + \sum_{i \neq k} \omega_{\epsilon_{ki}} \wedge \omega_{\epsilon_{ij'}} \right)$$

where $\widehat{\omega}_0 \in \Lambda^2(\mathfrak{h}_0^*)$ is such that

$$\widehat{\omega}_0(E_{ii} - E_{jj}, \cdot) = 0, \quad \forall i, j \tag{33}$$

and $\lambda_{(ij)}, \lambda_{(ij')}, \eta_{(ij)} \in \mathbb{C}$. The pair $(\mathfrak{k}, \omega)$ is $\mathfrak{sl}_n(\mathbb{H})$-admissible, hence it defines a regular generalized complex structure on $SL_n(\mathbb{H})$, if and only if the real 2-form $\mathrm{Im}\,(\widehat{\omega}_0)$ *is non-degenerate on $\mathfrak{h}_0 \cap \mathfrak{sl}_n(\mathbb{H})$.*

Proof. To determine all closed 2-forms ω on $\mathfrak{k}$, we apply Proposition 10, with subalgebra $\mathfrak{s} := \mathfrak{h}_0 + \mathfrak{g}(\{\epsilon_{ij}\})$ and ideal $\mathfrak{p} := \mathfrak{g}(\{\epsilon_{ij'}\})$. In terms of the decomposition

$$\omega = \rho_0 + \rho_1 + \rho_2,$$

the form ω is closed if and only if its $\mathfrak{s}$-part $\rho_0 \in \Lambda^2(\mathfrak{s}^*)$ and $\mathfrak{p}$-part $\rho_1 \in \Lambda^2(\mathfrak{p}^*)$ are closed and the mixed part $\rho_2 \in \mathfrak{s}^* \wedge \mathfrak{p}^*$ satisfies (7) and (8). Using (8), we determine ρ_2, as follows. The condition (8) is equivalent to the following three conditions:
i) for any $H \in \mathfrak{h}_0$ and root vectors $E_{\epsilon_{kp}}$ and $E_{\epsilon_{ij'}}$,

$$\rho_2([H, E_{\epsilon_{kp}}], E_{\epsilon_{ij'}}) + \rho_2([E_{\epsilon_{kp}}, E_{\epsilon_{ij'}}], H) + \rho_2([E_{\epsilon_{ij'}}, H], E_{\epsilon_{kp}}) = 0; \tag{34}$$

ii) for any $H, H' \in \mathfrak{h}_0$ and root vector $E_{\epsilon_{ij'}}$,

$$\rho_2([H', E_{\epsilon_{ij'}}], H) + \rho_2([E_{\epsilon_{ij'}}, H], H') = 0; \tag{35}$$

iii) for any root vectors $E_{\epsilon_{ks}}$, $E_{\epsilon_{pq}}$, $E_{\epsilon_{ij'}}$,

$$\rho_2([E_{\epsilon_{pq}}, E_{\epsilon_{ks}}], E_{\epsilon_{ij'}}) + \rho_2([E_{\epsilon_{ks}}, E_{\epsilon_{ij'}}], E_{\epsilon_{pq}}) + \rho_2([E_{\epsilon_{ij'}}, E_{\epsilon_{pq}}], E_{\epsilon_{ks}}) = 0. \tag{36}$$

It can be checked that (34) is equivalent to

$$\rho_2 = \sum_{k,j'} \lambda_{(kj')} \left(\sqrt{2n}\,\epsilon_{kj'} \wedge \omega_{\epsilon_{kj'}} + \sum_{i \neq k} \omega_{\epsilon_{ki}} \wedge \omega_{\epsilon_{ij'}} \right), \tag{37}$$

for some constants $\lambda_{(kj')} \in \mathbb{C}$. Moreover, with ρ_2 defined by (37), the relations (35) and (36) are satisfied. Thus condition (8) is equivalent to (37). We now show, using condition (7), that $\rho_1 = 0$. Since $\mathfrak{p}$ is abelian, condition (7) implies that

$$\rho_1([H, E_{\epsilon_{ij'}}], E_{\epsilon_{rs'}}) + \rho_1(E_{\epsilon_{ij'}}, [H, E_{\epsilon_{rs'}}]) = 0,$$

or

$$(\epsilon_i - \epsilon_{j'} + \epsilon_r - \epsilon_{s'})(H)\rho_1(E_{\epsilon_{ij'}}, E_{\epsilon_{rs'}}) = 0.$$

Let $H := E_{ii} - E_{jj}$ with $j \neq i, r$ (we assumed that $n \geq 3$). Since $\epsilon_i - \epsilon_{j'} + \epsilon_r - \epsilon_{s'}$ takes non-zero value on H,

$$\rho_1 = 0. \tag{38}$$

Finally, it remains to determine the closed form ρ_0 on $\mathfrak{s}$. The Lie algebra $\mathfrak{s}$ is reductive with semisimple part generated by $\mathfrak{g}(\{\epsilon_{ij}\})$ and center which is the annihilator of $\{\epsilon_{ij}\}$ in $\mathfrak{h}_0$. Using Proposition 10 and the fact that any closed 2-form on a semisimple Lie algebra is exact, it can be shown that

$$\rho_0 = \widehat{\omega}_0 + \sum_{i \neq j} \lambda_{(ij)} \epsilon_{ij} \wedge \omega_{\epsilon_{ij}} + \frac{1}{\sqrt{2n}} \sum_{i \neq j \neq k} \lambda_{(ik)} \omega_{\epsilon_{ij}} \wedge \omega_{\epsilon_{jk}} + \sum_{i \neq j} \eta_{(ij)} \omega_{\epsilon_{ij}} \wedge \omega_{\epsilon_{ji}} \tag{39}$$

where $\lambda_{(ij)}, \eta_{(ij)} \in \mathbb{C}$ are arbitrary constants and the 2-form $\widehat{\omega}_0 \in \Lambda^2(\mathfrak{h}_0^*)$ satisfies (33). Combining (37), (38) and (39) we conclude that all closed 2-forms on $\mathfrak{k}$ are described in the theorem. The last claim is straightforward.

□

5.2 Generalized complex structures on $SO_{2n-1,1}$

a) Description of the antiinvolution σ which defines $\mathfrak{so}_{2n}(\mathbb{C})$

Let $(V, (\cdot,\cdot))$ be a complex Euclidean vector space of dimension $2n \geq 6$ and $\mathfrak{so}(V) \simeq \mathfrak{so}_{2n}(\mathbb{C})$ the associated complex orthogonal Lie algebra. We identify $\mathfrak{so}(V)$ with $\Lambda^2 V$ using the scalar product $(\cdot,\cdot)$ and we choose a basis e_i, e_{-i}, $i = 1, \cdots, n$ of V with the only non-zero scalar products $(e_i, e_{-i}) = 1$. The diagonal Cartan subalgebra $\mathfrak{h} \subset \mathfrak{so}(V)$ has a basis

$$\{H_i := e_i \wedge e_{-i}, \quad i = 1, 2, \cdots, n\}.$$

We denote by $\{\epsilon_i\}$ the dual basis of $\mathfrak{h}^*$. Then the root system of $\mathfrak{so}(V)$ relative to $\mathfrak{h}$ is given by

$$R := \{\pm\epsilon_i \pm \epsilon_j, \quad i, j = 1, 2, \cdots, n, i \neq j\}$$

and the root vectors of a Weyl basis are

$$\begin{aligned}
E_{\epsilon_i+\epsilon_j} &:= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2(n-1)}}(e_i \wedge e_j), \quad i < j \\
E_{-\epsilon_i-\epsilon_j} &:= -\frac{1}{\sqrt{2(n-1)}}(e_{-i} \wedge e_{-j}), \quad i < j \\
E_{\epsilon_i-\epsilon_j} &:= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2(n-1)}}(e_i \wedge e_{-j}), \quad i \neq j.
\end{aligned}$$

The associated structure constants are given by

$$\begin{aligned}
N_{\epsilon_i+\epsilon_j, \epsilon_k-\epsilon_j} &= -\frac{1}{\sqrt{2(n-1)}}\gamma_{ij}\gamma_{ik} \\
N_{-(\epsilon_i+\epsilon_j), \epsilon_l+\epsilon_j} &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2(n-1)}}\gamma_{ij}\gamma_{jl} \\
N_{-(\epsilon_i+\epsilon_j), \epsilon_j-\epsilon_k} &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2(n-1)}}\gamma_{ij}\gamma_{ik} \\
N_{\epsilon_i-\epsilon_j, \epsilon_j-\epsilon_k} &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2(n-1)}},
\end{aligned}$$

where $\gamma_{ij} = 1$ if $i < j$ and -1 if $i > j$.

Consider the antilinear involution σ of V defined by

$$\sigma(e_{\pm i}) = e_{\mp i}, \quad 1 \leq i < n, \quad \sigma(e_{\pm n}) = e_{\pm n}.$$

It induces an antilinear involution σ on $\mathfrak{so}(V)$ whose associated real form is the Lorentzian Lie algebra $\mathfrak{so}_{2n-1,1}$. The map σ preserves the Cartan subalgebra $\mathfrak{h}$ and it acts on the weights ϵ_i as follows:

$$\sigma(\epsilon_i) = -\epsilon_i,\ i < n,\ \sigma(\epsilon_n) = \epsilon_n.$$

Alternatively, one may also define $\mathfrak{so}_{2n-1,1}$ as the unique real form of outer type of $\mathfrak{so}_{2n}(\mathbb{C})$, whose Vogan diagram has no painted node and the automorphism is given by interchanging the two ends of D_n. As described above, $\mathfrak{so}_{2n-1,1}$ can be obtained as in the proof of Theorem 6.88 of [10] (see also Proposition 20), by considering the root vectors of the Weyl basis defined above and assigning to the nodes of D_n the simple roots $\epsilon_1 - \epsilon_2, \cdots, \epsilon_{n-2} - \epsilon_{n-1}, \epsilon_{n-1} - \epsilon_n, \epsilon_{n-1} + \epsilon_n$. The roots $\epsilon_i - \epsilon_{i+1}$, $1 \leq i \leq n-2$ are compact. The automorphism θ of D_n is given by

$$\theta(\epsilon_i - \epsilon_{i+1}) = \epsilon_i - \epsilon_{i+1}, \quad 1 \leq i \leq n-2; \quad \theta(\epsilon_{n-1} - \epsilon_n) = \epsilon_{n-1} + \epsilon_n$$

and

$$\mathfrak{h}^{\sigma} = \{H = \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} i x_k H_k + x_n H_n, \quad x_k \in \mathbb{R}\}$$

is a maximally compact Cartan subalgebra of $\mathfrak{so}_{2n-1,1}$. It is also maximally non-compact (easy check).

b) σ-positive systems of the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{so}_{2n}(\mathbb{C})$

We denote by $R' \subset R$ the root system of the subalgebra $\mathfrak{so}_{2n-2}(\mathbb{C}) \subset \mathfrak{so}(V)$ which preserves the vectors $e_{\pm n}$. Then

$$\sigma|_{R'} = -1 \text{ and } \sigma(\epsilon_{n-1} - \epsilon_n) = -(\epsilon_{n-1} + \epsilon_n).$$

Proposition 24. *Any σ-positive system $R_0 \subset R$ is equivalent to one of the systems:*
a) $R_0 = R^+ := \{\epsilon_i \pm \epsilon_j, 1 \leq i < j \leq n\}$;
b) $R_0 = (R^+ \setminus \{\epsilon_{n-1} + \epsilon_n\}) \cup \{\epsilon_n - \epsilon_{n-1}\}$;
c) $R_0 = (R^+ \setminus \{\epsilon_{n-1} - \epsilon_n\}) \cup \{-(\epsilon_{n-1} + \epsilon_n)\}$.

Proof. Since $\sigma = -1$ on R', we may assume that R_0 contains the positive root system $R'_+ = \{\epsilon_i \pm \epsilon_j,\ i < j < n\}$ of R'. Let $\alpha_{n-1} := \epsilon_{n-1} - \epsilon_n$ and $\alpha_n := \epsilon_{n-1} + \epsilon_n$. Since σ interchanges the pairs (α_{n-1}, α_n) and $(-\alpha_n, -\alpha_{n-1})$, the system R_0 must contain one of the pairs

$$(\alpha_{n-1}, \alpha_n),\ (-\alpha_{n-1}, -\alpha_n), (\alpha_{n-1}, -\alpha_{n-1}),\ (\alpha_n, -\alpha_n).$$

One can easily check that the composition $s_{\epsilon_{n-1}-\epsilon_n} \circ s_{-(\epsilon_{n-1}+\epsilon_n)}$ of reflections exchanges the first two pairs of roots. Thus the closed systems spanned by R'_+ and any of the first two pairs are equivalent and give the positive root system R^+. The closed systems spanned by R'_+ and the remaining two pairs give the systems *b)* and *c)*. □

c) $SO_{2n-1,1}$-admissible pairs.

Since the σ-positive system R_0 of type *a)* found in Proposition 24 is a system of positive roots, the corresponding admissible pairs can be described using the method of Theorem 16. Now, we describe the $\mathfrak{so}_{2n-1,1}$-admissible pairs $(\mathfrak{k}, \omega)$, where $\mathfrak{k} \subset \mathfrak{so}_{2n}(\mathbb{C})$ is a regular subalgebra with the root system R_0 of type *c)* (the case *b)* can be treated similarly). Let

$$\mathfrak{k} := \mathfrak{h}_0 + \mathfrak{g}(R_0) \subset \mathfrak{so}_{2n}(\mathbb{C}), \tag{40}$$

where $\mathfrak{h}_0$ is included in the diagonal Cartan subalgebra $\mathfrak{h}$ of $\mathfrak{so}_{2n}(\mathbb{C})$ and R_0 is given by Proposition 24 *c)*:

$$R_0 = \big(R^+ \setminus \{\epsilon_{n-1} - \epsilon_n\}\big) \cup \{-(\epsilon_{n-1} + \epsilon_n)\}, \quad R^+ = \{\epsilon_i \pm \epsilon_j, 1 \le i < j \le n\}.$$

Since

$$R_0^{\mathrm{sym}} = \{\pm(\epsilon_{n-1} + \epsilon_n)\} \tag{41}$$

and $\sigma(\epsilon_{n-1} + \epsilon_n) = -\epsilon_{n-1} + \epsilon_n$, condition (26) is satisfied. Since $\mathfrak{k}$ is a subalgebra, (41) together with

$$[E_{\epsilon_{n-1}+\epsilon_n}, E_{-(\epsilon_{n-1}+\epsilon_n)}] = \frac{1}{2(n-1)}(H_{n-1} + H_n)$$

imply that $H_{n-1} + H_n$ belongs to $\mathfrak{h}_0$. Thus,

$$\mathfrak{h}_0 = (\mathrm{Ker}(\epsilon_{n-1} + \epsilon_n) \cap \mathfrak{h}_0) \oplus \mathrm{Span}(H_{n-1} + H_n).$$

Let

$$R'_0 := R^+ \setminus \{\epsilon_{n-1} \pm \epsilon_n\} = R_0 \setminus \{\pm(\epsilon_{n-1} + \epsilon_n)\}.$$

For simplicity, we assume that for any $\alpha \in R'_0$,

$$\alpha|_{\mathrm{Ker}(\epsilon_{n-1}+\epsilon_n)\cap\mathfrak{h}_0} \neq 0 \tag{42}$$

Remark that the above condition is automatically true, when $\alpha = \epsilon_i \pm \epsilon_j$, $i < j \le n-1$ (recall that $\sigma|_{R'} = -1$ and $\mathfrak{h}_0 + \bar{\mathfrak{h}}_0 = \mathfrak{h}$). It also holds for any $\alpha \in R'_0$, when $\mathfrak{h}_0 = \mathfrak{h}$.

Theorem 25. *Any closed 2-form ω on the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{k}$ defined in (40) is given by*

$$\begin{aligned}\omega &= (\epsilon_{n-1}+\epsilon_n)\wedge\big(a\omega_{\epsilon_{n-1}+\epsilon_n}+b\omega_{-(\epsilon_{n-1}+\epsilon_n)}\big)+c\omega_{\epsilon_{n-1}+\epsilon_n}\wedge\omega_{-(\epsilon_{n-1}+\epsilon_n)}\\ &+\widehat{\omega}_0+\sum_{\alpha\in R_0'}c_\alpha\alpha\wedge\omega_\alpha+\frac{1}{2}\sum_{\alpha\in R_0'}N_{\alpha\beta}c_{\alpha+\beta}\omega_\alpha\wedge\omega_\beta\\ &+\frac{1}{\sqrt{2(n-1)}}\sum_{i<n-1}\omega_{\epsilon_{n-1}+\epsilon_n}\wedge\big(c_{\epsilon_i+\epsilon_{n-1}}\omega_{\epsilon_i-\epsilon_n}-c_{\epsilon_i+\epsilon_n}\omega_{\epsilon_i-\epsilon_{n-1}}\big)\\ &+\frac{1}{\sqrt{2(n-1)}}\sum_{i<n-1}\omega_{-(\epsilon_{n-1}+\epsilon_n)}\wedge\big(c_{\epsilon_i-\epsilon_n}\omega_{\epsilon_i+\epsilon_{n-1}}-c_{\epsilon_i-\epsilon_{n-1}}\omega_{\epsilon_i+\epsilon_n}\big)\\ &+\frac{1}{2}\sum_{i<n-1}(\epsilon_{n-1}+\epsilon_n)\wedge\big(c_{\epsilon_i+\epsilon_n}\omega_{\epsilon_i+\epsilon_n}+c_{\epsilon_i+\epsilon_{n-1}}\wedge\omega_{\epsilon_i+\epsilon_{n-1}}\big)\\ &-\frac{1}{2}\sum_{i<n-1}(\epsilon_{n-1}+\epsilon_n)\wedge\big(c_{\epsilon_i-\epsilon_n}\omega_{\epsilon_i-\epsilon_n}+c_{\epsilon_i-\epsilon_{n-1}}\wedge\omega_{\epsilon_i-\epsilon_{n-1}}\big)\end{aligned}$$

where $\widehat{\omega}_0\in\Lambda^2(\mathfrak{h}_0)$ is such that

$$\widehat{\omega}_0(H_{n-1}+H_n,\cdot)=0,$$

$a,b,c\in\mathbb{C}$ and $c_\alpha\in\mathbb{C}$, for any $\alpha\in R_0'$. The pair $(\mathfrak{k},\omega)$ is $\mathfrak{so}_{2n-1,1}$-admissible, hence it defines a regular generalized complex structure on $SO_{2n-1,1}$, if and only if the real 2-form $\mathrm{Im}\,(\widehat{\omega}_0)$ *is non-degenerate on $\mathfrak{h}_0\cap\mathfrak{so}_{2n-1,1}$.*

Proof. To describe all closed 2-forms on $\mathfrak{k}$, we set

$$\mathfrak{p}:=(\mathrm{Ker}(\epsilon_{n-1}+\epsilon_n)\cap\mathfrak{h}_0)+\mathfrak{g}(R_0')$$

and

$$\mathfrak{s}:=\mathbb{C}(H_{n-1}+H_n)+\mathfrak{g}_{\epsilon_{n-1}+\epsilon_n}+\mathfrak{g}_{-(\epsilon_{n-1}+\epsilon_n)}.$$

Then $\mathfrak{k}=\mathfrak{s}\oplus\mathfrak{p}$ is a semidirect decomposition, with subalgebra $\mathfrak{s}$ and ideal $\mathfrak{p}$, and we can use Proposition 10 to describe all closed 2-forms on $\mathfrak{k}$. Decompose ω as

$$\omega=\rho_0+\rho_1+\rho_2,$$

where $\rho_0\in\Lambda^2(\mathfrak{s}^*)$ and $\rho_1\in\Lambda^2(\mathfrak{p}^*)$ are the $\mathfrak{s}$, respectively $\mathfrak{p}$-parts of ω and $\rho_2\in\mathfrak{p}^*\wedge\mathfrak{s}^*$ is the mixed part. It is easy to see that any 2-form

$$\rho_0=(\epsilon_{n-1}+\epsilon_n)\wedge\big(a\omega_{\epsilon_{n-1}+\epsilon_n}+b\omega_{-(\epsilon_{n-1}+\epsilon_n)}\big)+c\omega_{\epsilon_{n-1}+\epsilon_n}\wedge\omega_{-(\epsilon_{n-1}+\epsilon_n)}\quad(43)$$

on $\mathfrak{s}$ is closed. Now we determine all closed 2-forms ρ_1 on $\mathfrak{p}$. Using (42), an argument like in Theorem 16 shows that any closed 2-form on $\mathfrak{p}$ is given by

$$\rho_1 = \widehat{\omega}_0 + \sum_{\alpha \in R_0'} c_\alpha \alpha \wedge \omega_\alpha + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\alpha, \beta \in R_0'} N_{\alpha\beta} c_{\alpha+\beta} \omega_\alpha \wedge \omega_\beta \tag{44}$$

where $c_\alpha \in \mathbb{C}$ for any $\alpha \in R_0'$ and $\widehat{\omega}_0$ is any 2-form defined on $\mathrm{Ker}(\epsilon_{n-1} + \epsilon_n) \cap \mathfrak{h}_0$. It remains to determine the mixed part ρ_2 of ρ, which satisfies

$$\rho_2([s, s'], p) + \rho_2([s', p], s) + \rho_2([p, s], s') = 0 \tag{45}$$

and is related to ρ_1 by

$$\rho_2(s, [p, p']) = \rho_1([s, p], p') + \rho_1(p, [s, p']) \tag{46}$$

for any $s, s' \in \mathfrak{s}$ and $p, p' \in \mathfrak{p}$. A straightforward computation shows that (45) is equivalent to the following conditions:

i) for any $H \in \mathrm{Ker}(\epsilon_{n-1} + \epsilon_n) \cap \mathfrak{h}_0$,

$$\rho_2(H, \cdot) = 0. \tag{47}$$

ii) for any $\alpha \in R_0'$ such that $\alpha + \epsilon_{n-1} + \epsilon_n \notin R$ and $\alpha - (\epsilon_{n-1} + \epsilon_n) \notin R$, $\rho_2(H_{n-1} + H_n, E_\alpha) = 0$;

iii) for any $\alpha \in R_0'$,

$$\begin{aligned} \rho_2(E_{\epsilon_{n-1}+\epsilon_n}, E_\alpha) =& \frac{N_{\epsilon_{n-1}+\epsilon_n, \alpha}}{\alpha(H_{n-1} + H_n) + 2} \rho_2(H_{n-1} + H_n, E_{\alpha + \epsilon_{n-1} + \epsilon_n}) \\ \rho_2(E_{-(\epsilon_{n-1}+\epsilon_n)}, E_\alpha) =& \frac{N_{-(\epsilon_{n-1}+\epsilon_n), \alpha}}{\alpha(H_{n-1} + H_n) - 2} \rho_2(H_{n-1} + H_n, E_{\alpha - (\epsilon_{n-1} + \epsilon_n)}). \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, with ρ_1 and ρ_2 as above, relation (46) is equivalent to

$$c_\alpha \alpha(H_{n-1} + H_n) = \rho_2(H_{n-1} + H_n, E_\alpha), \quad \forall \alpha \in R_0' \tag{48}$$

(here we use condition (42)). Combining this relation with the above expressions of $\rho_2(E_{\epsilon_{n-1}+\epsilon_n}, E_\alpha)$ and $\rho_2(E_{-(\epsilon_{n-1}+\epsilon_n)}, E_\alpha)$ we get: for any $\alpha \in R_0'$,

$$\rho_2(E_{\epsilon_{n-1}+\epsilon_n}, E_\alpha) = N_{\epsilon_{n-1}+\epsilon_n, \alpha} c_{\alpha + \epsilon_{n-1} + \epsilon_n} \tag{49}$$

$$\rho_2(E_{-(\epsilon_{n-1}+\epsilon_n)}, E_\alpha) = N_{-(\epsilon_{n-1}+\epsilon_n), \alpha} c_{\alpha - (\epsilon_{n-1} + \epsilon_n)}. \tag{50}$$

From (47), (48), (49) and (50), we get

$$\begin{aligned}\rho_2 = &\sum_{\alpha\in R_0'} N_{\epsilon_{n-1}+\epsilon_n,\alpha} c_{\alpha+\epsilon_{n-1}+\epsilon_n} \omega_{\epsilon_{n-1}+\epsilon_n} \wedge \omega_\alpha \\ &+ \sum_{\alpha\in R_0'} N_{-(\epsilon_{n-1}+\epsilon_n),\alpha} c_{\alpha-(\epsilon_{n-1}+\epsilon_n)} \omega_{-(\epsilon_{n-1}+\epsilon_n)} \wedge \omega_\alpha \\ &+ \frac{1}{2}\sum_{\alpha\in R_0'} c_\alpha \alpha(H_{n-1}+H_n)(\epsilon_{n-1}+\epsilon_n) \wedge \omega_\alpha .\end{aligned}$$

Using the expression of the structure constants $N_{\alpha\beta}$ we can further simplify ρ_2 and we conclude:

$$\begin{aligned}\rho_2 = &\frac{1}{\sqrt{2(n-1)}} \sum_{i<n-1} \omega_{\epsilon_{n-1}+\epsilon_n} \wedge \left(c_{\epsilon_i+\epsilon_{n-1}} \omega_{\epsilon_i-\epsilon_n} - c_{\epsilon_i+\epsilon_n} \omega_{\epsilon_i-\epsilon_{n-1}} \right) \\ &+ \frac{1}{\sqrt{2(n-1)}} \sum_{i<n-1} \omega_{-(\epsilon_{n-1}+\epsilon_n)} \wedge \left(c_{\epsilon_i-\epsilon_n} \omega_{\epsilon_i+\epsilon_{n-1}} - c_{\epsilon_i-\epsilon_{n-1}} \omega_{\epsilon_i+\epsilon_n} \right) \\ &+ \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i<n-1} (\epsilon_{n-1}+\epsilon_n) \wedge \left(c_{\epsilon_i+\epsilon_n} \omega_{\epsilon_i+\epsilon_n} + c_{\epsilon_i+\epsilon_{n-1}} \wedge \omega_{\epsilon_i+\epsilon_{n-1}} \right) \\ &- \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i<n-1} (\epsilon_{n-1}+\epsilon_n) \wedge \left(c_{\epsilon_i-\epsilon_n} \omega_{\epsilon_i-\epsilon_n} + c_{\epsilon_i-\epsilon_{n-1}} \wedge \omega_{\epsilon_i-\epsilon_{n-1}} \right) . \qquad (51)\end{aligned}$$

Combining (43), (44) and (51) we get the first claim. The second claim is trivial.

□

5.3 Generalized complex structures on the real Lie groups E_6 of outer type

a) Description of the real forms $(\mathfrak{e}_6)^\sigma$ of outer type of $\mathfrak{e}_6$

We follow the description of the exceptional complex Lie algebra $\mathfrak{e}_6$ given in [14, p. 80]. The complex Lie algebra $\mathfrak{e}_6$ has dimension 78 and rank 6. We take $\mathfrak{h} = \mathbb{C}^6$ for the Cartan subalgebra. Let $\{e_1, \cdots, e_6\}$ be the standard basis of $\mathbb{C}^6$, with dual basis $\{\epsilon_1, \cdots, \epsilon_6\}$. The Killing form restricted to $\mathfrak{h}$ is

$$\langle x, y\rangle = 24\sum_{i=1}^{6} \epsilon_i(x)\epsilon_i(y) + 8(\sum_i \epsilon_i(x))(\sum_j \epsilon_j(y)).$$

The root system R is formed by $\pm(\epsilon_i - \epsilon_j)$ with $1 \leq i < j \leq 6$, $\pm(\epsilon_i + \epsilon_j + \epsilon_k)$ with $1 \leq i < j < k \leq 6$ and $\pm(\epsilon_1 + \cdots + \epsilon_6)$. A system of simple roots is

$$\Pi = \{\alpha_1 = \epsilon_1 - \epsilon_2, \alpha_2 = \epsilon_2 - \epsilon_3, \cdots, \alpha_5 = \epsilon_5 - \epsilon_6, \alpha_6 = \epsilon_4 + \epsilon_5 + \epsilon_6\}.$$

The complex Lie algebra $\mathfrak{e}_6$ has two real forms of outer type, with maximally compact subalgebras $\mathfrak{f}_4$ and $\mathfrak{sp}_4$. The Vogan diagram of $\mathfrak{e}_6(\mathfrak{f}_4)$ has no painted node and an automorphism of order two, given by the horizontal reversing in the Dynkin diagram of $\mathfrak{e}_6$. The Vogan diagram of $\mathfrak{e}_6(\mathfrak{sp}_4)$ is the same, the only difference being that the triple node from the Dynkin diagram is painted, see [10, p. 361]. This means that the Cartan involutions of $\mathfrak{e}_6(\mathfrak{f}_4)$ and $\mathfrak{e}_6(\mathfrak{sp}_4)$ induce the same canonical order two automorphism of the Dynkin diagram of $\mathfrak{e}_6$, given, in terms of the simple roots from Π, by

$$\begin{aligned}\theta(\alpha_1) &= \alpha_5, \quad \theta(\alpha_2) = \alpha_4,\\ \theta(\alpha_3) &= \alpha_3, \quad \theta(\alpha_6) = \alpha_6.\end{aligned}$$

Similarly, the defining antiinvolutions σ of $\mathfrak{e}_6(\mathfrak{f}_4)$ and $\mathfrak{e}_6(\mathfrak{sp}_4)$ induce the same action on R:

$$\sigma(\alpha) = -\theta(\alpha), \quad \forall \alpha \in R.$$

We shall denote by $\mathfrak{h}^\sigma = \mathfrak{h}_{\mathfrak{e}_6(\mathfrak{f}_4)} = \mathfrak{h}_{\mathfrak{e}_6(\mathfrak{sp}_4)}$ the common maximally compact Cartan subalgebras of $\mathfrak{e}_6(\mathfrak{f}_4)$ and $\mathfrak{e}_6(\mathfrak{sp}_4)$, defined as in (29). For $\mathfrak{e}_6(\mathfrak{f}_4)$ both roots α_3 and α_6 are compact, while for $\mathfrak{e}_6(\mathfrak{sp}_4)$, α_3 is non-compact and α_6 is compact, with respect to $\mathfrak{h}^\sigma$.

b) σ-positive systems of the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{e}_6$

We denote by the same symbol σ the antiinvolutions of $\mathfrak{e}_6$ which define the real forms $\mathfrak{e}_6(\mathfrak{f}_4)$ and $\mathfrak{e}_6(\mathfrak{sp}_4)$, like in the previous paragraph. The following Proposition describes all σ-positive systems in R.

Proposition 26. *Any σ-positive system of R is equivalent to one of the* *following σ-positive systems:*

$$\begin{aligned}
R_0^{(1)} &= \{\pm(\epsilon_i-\epsilon_j)\}_{1\le i<j\le 3}\cup\{\epsilon_i-\epsilon_j\}_{i\le 3,j\ge 4}\cup\{\epsilon_i+\epsilon_j+\epsilon_k,\epsilon_1+\cdots+\epsilon_6\};\\
R_0^{(2)} &= \{\pm(\epsilon_i-\epsilon_j)\}_{1\le i<j\le 3}\cup\{\epsilon_i-\epsilon_j\}_{i\le 3,j\ge 4}\cup\{\epsilon_i+\epsilon_j+\epsilon_k\neq\epsilon_4+\epsilon_5+\epsilon_6\}\\
&\quad\cup\{-(\epsilon_4+\epsilon_5+\epsilon_6),\epsilon_1+\cdots+\epsilon_6\};\\
R_0^{(3)} &= \{\pm(\epsilon_i-\epsilon_j)\}_{1\le i<j\le 3}\cup\{\epsilon_i-\epsilon_j\}_{i\le 3,j\ge 4}\cup\{-(\epsilon_i+\epsilon_j+\epsilon_k)\}\\
&\quad\cup\{-(\epsilon_1+\cdots+\epsilon_6)\};\\
R_0^{(4)} &= \{\pm(\epsilon_i-\epsilon_j)\}_{1\le i<j\le 3}\cup\{\epsilon_i-\epsilon_j\}_{i\le 3,j\ge 4}\cup\{-(\epsilon_i+\epsilon_j+\epsilon_k)\neq-(\epsilon_1+\epsilon_2+\epsilon_3)\}\\
&\quad\cup\{\epsilon_1+\epsilon_2+\epsilon_3,-(\epsilon_1+\cdots+\epsilon_6)\};\\
R_0^{(5)} &= \{\pm(\epsilon_i-\epsilon_j)\}_{1\le i<j\le 3}\cup\{\epsilon_i-\epsilon_j\}_{i\le 3,j\ge 4}\cup\{-(\epsilon_i+\epsilon_5+\epsilon_6)\}_{i\le 4}\\
&\quad\cup\{-(\epsilon_i+\epsilon_4+\epsilon_6)\}_{i\le 3}\cup\{\epsilon_i+\epsilon_j+\epsilon_6\}_{i,j\le 3}\cup\{-(\epsilon_i+\epsilon_4+\epsilon_5)\}_{i\le 3}\\
&\quad\cup\{\epsilon_i+\epsilon_j+\epsilon_5\}_{i,j\le 3}\cup\{\epsilon_i+\epsilon_j+\epsilon_4\}_{i,j\le 3}\cup\{\epsilon_1+\epsilon_2+\epsilon_3,\epsilon_1+\cdots+\epsilon_6\};\\
R_0^{(6)} &= \{\pm(\epsilon_i-\epsilon_j)\}_{1\le i<j\le 3}\cup\{\epsilon_i-\epsilon_j\}_{i\le 3,j\ge 4}\cup\{-(\epsilon_i+\epsilon_5+\epsilon_6)\}_{i\le 4}\\
&\quad\cup\{-(\epsilon_i+\epsilon_4+\epsilon_6)\}_{i\le 3}\cup\{\epsilon_i+\epsilon_j+\epsilon_6\}_{i,j\le 3}\cup\{-(\epsilon_i+\epsilon_4+\epsilon_5)\}_{i\le 3}\\
&\quad\cup\{\epsilon_i+\epsilon_j+\epsilon_5\}_{i,j\le 3}\cup\{\epsilon_i+\epsilon_j+\epsilon_4\}_{i,j\le 3}\cup\{\epsilon_1+\epsilon_2+\epsilon_3,-(\epsilon_1+\cdots+\epsilon_6)\}.
\end{aligned}$$

Moreover, the image of $R_0^{(k)}$ ($1\le k\le 6$) through the antiinvolution σ is given by:

$$\begin{aligned}
\sigma(R_0^{(1)}) &= \{\pm(\epsilon_i-\epsilon_j)\}_{4\le i<j\le 6}\cup\{\epsilon_j-\epsilon_i\}_{i\le 3,j\ge 4}\cup\{-(\epsilon_i+\epsilon_j+\epsilon_k),-(\epsilon_1+\cdots+\epsilon_6)\};\\
\sigma(R_0^{(2)}) &= \{\pm(\epsilon_i-\epsilon_j)\}_{4\le i<j\le 6}\cup\{\epsilon_j-\epsilon_i\}_{i\le 3,j\ge 4}\cup\{\epsilon_4+\epsilon_5+\epsilon_6,-(\epsilon_1+\cdots+\epsilon_6)\}\\
&\quad\cup\{-(\epsilon_i+\epsilon_j+\epsilon_k)\neq-(\epsilon_4+\epsilon_5+\epsilon_6)\};\\
\sigma(R_0^{(3)}) &= \{\pm(\epsilon_i-\epsilon_j)\}_{4\le i<j\le 6}\cup\{\epsilon_j-\epsilon_i\}_{i\le 3,j\ge 4}\cup\{\epsilon_i+\epsilon_j+\epsilon_k\}\cup\{\epsilon_1+\cdots+\epsilon_6\};\\
\sigma(R_0^{(4)}) &= \{\pm(\epsilon_i-\epsilon_j)\}_{4\le i<j\le 6}\cup\{\epsilon_j-\epsilon_i\}_{i\le 3,j\ge 4}\cup\{\epsilon_i+\epsilon_j+\epsilon_k\neq\epsilon_1+\epsilon_2+\epsilon_3\}\\
&\quad\cup\{-(\epsilon_1+\epsilon_2+\epsilon_3),\epsilon_1+\cdots+\epsilon_6\};\\
\sigma(R_0^{(5)}) &= \{\pm(\epsilon_i-\epsilon_j)\}_{4\le i<j\le 6}\cup\{\epsilon_j-\epsilon_i\}_{i\le 3,j\ge 4}\cup\{\epsilon_i+\epsilon_5+\epsilon_6\}_{i\le 4}\\
&\quad\cup\{\epsilon_i+\epsilon_4+\epsilon_6\}_{i\le 3}\cup\{-(\epsilon_i+\epsilon_j+\epsilon_6)\}_{i,j\le 3}\cup\{\epsilon_i+\epsilon_4+\epsilon_5\}_{i\le 3}\\
&\quad\cup\{-(\epsilon_i+\epsilon_j+\epsilon_5)\}_{i,j\le 3}\cup\{-(\epsilon_i+\epsilon_j+\epsilon_4)\}_{i,j\le 3}\cup\{-(\epsilon_1+\epsilon_2+\epsilon_3)\}\\
&\quad\cup\{-(\epsilon_1+\cdots+\epsilon_6)\};\\
\sigma(R_0^{(6)}) &= \{\pm(\epsilon_i-\epsilon_j)\}_{4\le i<j\le 6}\cup\{\epsilon_j-\epsilon_i\}_{i\le 3,j\ge 4}\cup\{\epsilon_i+\epsilon_5+\epsilon_6\}_{i\le 4}\\
&\quad\cup\{\epsilon_i+\epsilon_4+\epsilon_6\}_{i\le 3}\cup\{-(\epsilon_i+\epsilon_j+\epsilon_6)\}_{i,j\le 3}\cup\{\epsilon_i+\epsilon_4+\epsilon_5\}_{i\le 3}\\
&\quad\cup\{-(\epsilon_i+\epsilon_j+\epsilon_5)\}_{i,j\le 3}\cup\{-(\epsilon_i+\epsilon_j+\epsilon_4)\}_{i,j\le 3}\cup\{-(\epsilon_1+\epsilon_2+\epsilon_3)\}\\
&\quad\cup\{\epsilon_1+\cdots+\epsilon_6\}.
\end{aligned}$$

Proof. The proof is long but straightforward, and uses the action of σ on roots and the properties of σ-positive systems (see Definition 13). We give only the sketch of the argument. Let R_0 be a σ-positive system of R and

$$\tilde{R} = [\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_4, \alpha_5]$$

the closed set of roots consisting of all roots from R which are linear combinations of the simple roots α_i, for $i = 1, 2, 4, 5$. Since $\tilde{R}$ has two irreducible components and $\tilde{R} = (\tilde{R} \cap R_0) \cup \left(\tilde{R} \cap \sigma(R_0)\right)$ is a decomposition of $\tilde{R}$ into a disjoint union of two closed subsets, we may assume, replacing if necessary, R_0 by $\sigma(R_0)$, that:

$$\tilde{R} \cap R_0 = [\alpha_1, \alpha_2], \quad \tilde{R} \cap \sigma(R_0) = [\alpha_4, \alpha_5].$$

In particular,

$$[\alpha_1, \alpha_2] = \{\pm(\epsilon_1 - \epsilon_2), \pm(\epsilon_2 - \epsilon_3), \pm(\epsilon_1 - \epsilon_3)\} \subset R_0.$$

Since σ interchanges the pairs (α_3, α_6) and $(-\alpha_3, -\alpha_6)$, replacing again, if necessary, R_0 by $-R_0$, we have the following two possibilities: either

$$\{\pm(\epsilon_1 - \epsilon_2), \pm(\epsilon_2 - \epsilon_3), \pm(\epsilon_1 - \epsilon_3), \alpha_3 = \epsilon_3 - \epsilon_4, \alpha_6 = \epsilon_4 + \epsilon_5 + \epsilon_6\} \subset R_0 \quad (52)$$

or

$$\{\pm(\epsilon_1 - \epsilon_2), \pm(\epsilon_2 - \epsilon_3), \pm(\epsilon_1 - \epsilon_3), \alpha_3 = \epsilon_3 - \epsilon_4, -\alpha_6 = -(\epsilon_4 + \epsilon_5 + \epsilon_6)\} \subset R_0. \quad (53)$$

Using that R_0 is a σ-positive system one may check if (52) holds then $R_0 = R_0^{(1)}$. Assume now that (53) holds. We distinguish two further subcases: when

$$\epsilon_3 + \epsilon_5 + \epsilon_6 \in R_0 \quad (54)$$

and, respectively, when

$$\epsilon_3 + \epsilon_5 + \epsilon_6 \in \sigma(R_0). \quad (55)$$

When (53) and (54) hold, $R_0 = R_0^{(2)}$. Assume now that (53) and (55) hold. It turns out that if

$$-(\epsilon_2 + \epsilon_3 + \epsilon_6) \in R_0 \quad (56)$$

then $R_0 = R_0^{(3)}$, provided that $-(\epsilon_1 + \epsilon_2 + \epsilon_3) \in R_0$, or $R_0 = R_0^{(4)}$, provided that $-(\epsilon_1 + \epsilon_2 + \epsilon_3) \in \sigma(R_0)$. If (53) and (55) hold but (56) does not, then $R_0 = R_0^{(5)}$, provided that $\epsilon_1 + \cdots + \epsilon_6 \in R_0$, or $R_0 = R_0^{(6)}$, provided that $\epsilon_1 + \cdots + \epsilon_6 \in \sigma(R_0)$.

□

c) $\mathfrak{e}_6^{\sigma}$-admissible pairs

We preserve the notations from the previous paragraphs. We denote by

$$\mathfrak{k}^{(k)} := \mathfrak{h}_0^{(k)} + \mathfrak{g}(R_0^{(k)})$$

a regular subalgebra of $\mathfrak{e}_6$, normalized by $\mathfrak{h}^{\sigma}$, with root system $R_0^{(k)}$ ($1 \leq k \leq 6$) described in Proposition 26 and with Cartan part $\mathfrak{h}_0^{(k)} \subset \mathfrak{h}$, satisfying $\mathfrak{h}_0^{(k)} + \sigma(\mathfrak{h}_0^{(k)}) = \mathfrak{h}$. Since

$$R_0^{(k),\mathrm{sym}} = \{\pm(\epsilon_i - \epsilon_j), 1 \leq i \neq j \leq 3\}$$

and

$$\sigma(R_0^{(k),\mathrm{sym}}) = \{\pm(\epsilon_i - \epsilon_j), 4 \leq i \neq j \leq 6\}$$

for any $1 \leq k \leq 6$, condition (26) is satisfied. Moreover,

$$\mathrm{Span}(R_0^{(k),\mathrm{sym}})^{\flat} = \{\sum_{i=1}^{3} \lambda_i e_i, \quad \sum_{i=1}^{3} \lambda_i = 0\}$$

is included in $\mathfrak{h}_0^{(k)}$, because $\mathfrak{k}^{(k)}$ is a subalgebra.

Theorem 27. *For any $1 \leq k \leq 6$, the 2-form $\omega_{(k)}$ on $\mathfrak{k}^{(k)}$, defined by*

$$\begin{aligned}
\omega_{(1)} &:= \widehat{\omega}_{(1)} + \lambda_1(\epsilon_4 + \epsilon_5 + \epsilon_6) \wedge \omega_{\epsilon_4+\epsilon_5+\epsilon_6}\\
\omega_{(2)} &:= \widehat{\omega}_{(2)} + \lambda_2(\epsilon_4 + \epsilon_5 + \epsilon_6) \wedge \omega_{-(\epsilon_4+\epsilon_5+\epsilon_6)}\\
\omega_{(3)} &:= \widehat{\omega}_{(3)} + \lambda_3(\epsilon_1 + \epsilon_2 + \epsilon_3) \wedge \omega_{-(\epsilon_1+\epsilon_2+\epsilon_3)}\\
\omega_{(4)} &:= \widehat{\omega}_{(4)} + \lambda_4(\epsilon_1 + \epsilon_2 + \epsilon_3) \wedge \omega_{\epsilon_1+\epsilon_2+\epsilon_3}\\
\omega_{(5)} &:= \widehat{\omega}_{(5)} + \lambda_5(\epsilon_1 + \cdots + \epsilon_6) \wedge \omega_{\epsilon_1+\cdots+\epsilon_6}\\
\omega_{(6)} &:= \widehat{\omega}_{(6)} + \lambda_6(\epsilon_1 + \cdots + \epsilon_6) \wedge \omega_{-(\epsilon_1+\cdots+\epsilon_6)}
\end{aligned}$$

where $\lambda_k \in \mathbb{C}$ and $\widehat{\omega}_{(k)}$ is a 2-form on $\mathfrak{h}_0^{(k)}$ with

$$\widehat{\omega}_{(k)}(e_i - e_j, \cdot) = 0, \quad \forall 1 \leq i, j \leq 3, \tag{57}$$

is closed. If, moreover, $\mathrm{Im}\left(\widehat{\omega}_{(k)}\right)$ *is non-degenerate on $\mathfrak{h}_0^{(k)} \cap \mathfrak{h}^{\sigma}$, then $(\mathfrak{k}^{(k)}, \omega_{(k)})$ is an admissible pair and it defines a regular generalized complex structure on the real Lie group $(E_6)^{\sigma}$.*

Proof. We only prove the statement for $\omega_{(1)}$, the proofs for the remaining $\omega_{(k)}$, $2 \leq k \leq 6$, being similar. Recall the formula for the exterior derivative of a covector $\beta \in (\mathfrak{k}^{(1)})^*$:

$$d_{\mathfrak{k}^{(1)}}\beta(X, Y) = -\beta([X, Y]), \quad \forall X, Y \in \mathfrak{k}^{(1)}. \tag{58}$$

From (57) and (58), $\widehat{\omega}_{(1)}$ is closed on $\mathfrak{k}^{(1)}$ (see also (25)). Since the root $\epsilon_4 + \epsilon_5 + \epsilon_6 \in R_0^{(1)}$ cannot be written as a sum of two roots, both from $R_0^{(1)}$, relation (58) implies that

$$d_{\mathfrak{k}^{(1)}}(\omega_{\epsilon_4+\epsilon_5+\epsilon_6}) = -(\epsilon_4 + \epsilon_5 + \epsilon_6) \wedge \omega_{\epsilon_4+\epsilon_5+\epsilon_6}. \tag{59}$$

Also,

$$d_{\mathfrak{k}^{(1)}}(\epsilon_4 + \epsilon_5 + \epsilon_6) = 0, \tag{60}$$

where in (60) we used that $\epsilon_4 + \epsilon_5 + \epsilon_6$ annihilates $\mathrm{Span}(R_0^{(1),\mathrm{sym}})^{\flat}$. From (59) and (60), $(\epsilon_4 + \epsilon_5 + \epsilon_6) \wedge \omega_{\epsilon_4+\epsilon_5+\epsilon_6}$ is closed on $\mathfrak{k}^{(1)}$. Thus $\omega_{(1)}$ is also closed.

□

Remark 28. *1. All regular $\mathfrak{g}$-admissible pairs $(\mathfrak{k}, \omega)$ from this section have the property that the root system R_0 of $\mathfrak{k}$ is a σ-positive system. The problem of constructing more general regular $\mathfrak{g}$-admissible pairs, with σ-parabolic system R_0, is left open.*

2. In this paper we studied in detail regular generalized complex structures on semisimple Lie groups. The problem of constructing invariant generalized complex structures on these groups, which are not regular, remains open. Further investigation in this direction is needed and will hopefully be done in a forthcoming paper.

References

[1] L. C. de Andres, M. L. Barberis, I. Dotti and M. Fernandez *Hermitian structures on cotangent bundles of four dimensional solvable Lie groups*, Osaka J. Math. 44 no. 4 (2007), 765-793.

[2] D. V. Alekseevsky and A. M. Perelomov: *Invariant Kähler-Einstein metrics on compact homogeneous spaces*, Funct. Anal. Applic. 20 (1986), no. 3, 171-182.

[3] D. V. Alekseevsky and A. M. Perelomov: *Poisson and symplectic structures on Lie algebras, I*, J. Geom. Physics, 22 (1997), 191-211.

[4] N. Bourbaki: *Lie groups and Lie algebras, Chapitres 4-6* (Springer 2002).

[5] F. Burstall and J. Rawnsley: *Twistor Theory for Symmetric Spaces*, vol. 1424, (Springer 1990).

[6] G. R. Cavalcanti and M. Gualtieri: *Generalized complex structures on nilmanifolds*, J. Symplectic Geom., 2 (2004), 393-410.

[7] A. Fino and A. Tomassini: *Non-Kähler Solvmanifolds with Generalized Kähler structure*, J. Symplectic Geom. 7 no. 2 (2009), 1-14.

[8] M. Gualtieri: *Generalized Complex Geometry*, Ph.D thesis, University of Oxford, 2003; arxiv:mathDG/0401221.

[9] N. G. Hitchin: *Generalized Calabi-Yau manifolds*, Q. J. Math. 54 no. 3 (2003), 281-308.

[10] A. W. Knapp: *Lie Groups Beyond an Introduction*, Progress in Mathematics, vol. 140, (Birkhauser 1996).

[11] B. Milburn: *Generalized Complex and Dirac Structures on Homogeneous Spaces*, arXiv:0712.2627v2.

[12] A. L. Onishchik and E. B. Vinberg: *Lie groups and Lie algebras III*, (Springer-Verlag Berlin Heidelberg New-York London Paris Tokyo Hong-Kong Barcelona Budapest).

[13] L. Ornea and R. Pantilie: *Holomorphic maps between generalized complex manifolds*, J. Geom. Physics, 61 (2011), 1502-1515.

[14] H. Samelson: *Notes on Lie algebras*, (Springer-Verlag, 1989).

[15] H. C. Wang: *Closed manifolds with homogeneous complex structures*, Amer. J. Math. 76 (1954), 1-32.

AUTHORS ADDRESS:

D.V.ALEKSEEVSKY: Edinburgh University, The King's Buildings, JCMB, Mayfield Road, Edinburgh, EH9 3JZ, Great Britain and Hamburg University, Bundesstrasse 55, Hamburg 20146, Germany; e-mail: D.Aleksee@ed.ac.uk;

L. DAVID: Institute of Mathematics Simion Stoilow of the Romanian Academy, Calea Grivitei no. 21, Sector 1, Bucharest, Romania; liana.david@imar.ro